Will Spin-Relaxation Times in Molecular Magnets Permit Quantum Information Processing?

Arzhang Ardavan, Olivier Rival, John J. L. Morton, and Stephen J. Blundell

Clarendon Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom

Alexei M. Tyryshkin

Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States, USA

Grigore A. Timco and Richard E. P. Winpenny

Department of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

Using X-band pulsed electron-spin resonance, we report the intrinsic spin-lattice (T_1) and phase-coherence (T_2) relaxation times in molecular nanomagnets for the first time. In Cr_7M heterometallic wheels, with $M =$ Ni and Mn, phase-coherence relaxation is dominated by the coupling of the electron spin to protons within the molecule. In deuterated samples T_2 reaches 3 μs at low temperatures, which is several orders of magnitude longer than the duration of spin manipulations, satisfying a prerequisite for the deployment of molecular nanomagnets in quantum information applications.

PACS numbers: 75.50.Xx, 03.67.−a, 75.50.Tt, 76.30.−v

Certain computational tasks can be efficiently implemented using quantum logic, in which the information-carrying elements are permitted to exist in quantum superpositions [1]. To achieve this in practice, a physical system that is suitable for embodying quantum bits (qubits) must be identified. Some proposed scenarios employ electron spins in the solid state, for example, phosphorous donors in silicon [2], quantum dots [3], heterostructures [4], and endohedral fullerenes [5,6], motivated by the long electron-spin-relaxation times exhibited by these systems. An alternative electron-spin based proposal exploits the large number of quantum states and the nondegenerate transitions available in high spin molecular magnets [7,8]. Although these advantages have stimulated vigorous research in molecular magnets [9–11], the key question of whether the intrinsic spin-relaxation times are long enough has hitherto remained unaddressed. Here we show, using pulsed electron-spin resonance experiments on heterometallic wheels, that the relaxation times in molecular magnets can significantly exceed the duration of coherent manipulations, a prerequisite for the deployment of these systems in quantum information applications.

Molecular magnets comprising clusters of exchanged coupled transition metal ions have been studied extensively in recent years [12]. They can exhibit a substantial ground state spin with a large and negative zero-field splitting (ZFS), leading to a spontaneous magnetic moment parallel to the easy axis. In the absence of a magnetic field, the configurations in which the moment is "up" or "down" relative to the easy axis are degenerate, and this bistable nature has stimulated interest in the application of magnetic clusters as classical [13] or quantum [7–9,11] information elements.

Molecules in this class have been synthesized with widely varying properties, from the $S = 10$ highly axial Mn_{12} acetate [14], to the diamagnetic ring $Cr_8F_8Piv_{16}$ [15,16]. A key recent chemical advance is the development of procedures for magnetically "doping" a diamagnetic cluster to synthesize paramagnetic molecules in a systematic and controllable way [17]. Thus, substituting a Cr^{3+} ($s = 3/2$) by a Mn^{2+} ($s = 5/2$) or a Ni^{2+} ($s = 1$) generates the $S = 1$ Cr_7Mn or the $S = 1/2$ Cr_7Ni, respectively.

Many clusters have been investigated using thermodynamic probes such as magnetization [18] and heat capacity [19], and spectroscopic probes such as neutron scattering [20–22] and electron-spin resonance [23,24]. These strategies have been very successful in determining the energy spectra and magnetic structures, but apart from a limited number of observations of "demagnetization tunneling" there are few [25] reports of definitive measurements of relaxation times. However, the feasibility of many of the most interesting proposed applications, in particular, those involving classical or quantum information processing, is critically dependent on the intrinsic spin-lattice (T_1) and phase-coherence (T_2) times.

Measurements were performed using commercial Bruker Elexsys 580 X-band pulsed ESR spectrometers, employing ^{4}He flow cryostats for temperature control. The relaxation times were obtained using standard techniques [26]: T_2 from the decay of a 2-pulse Hahn-echo sequence,

$$\pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - \text{echo}, \quad (1)$$

with τ varying; T_1 from the recovery of the magnetization (measured with a spin echo) after an inversion pulse,

$$\pi - T - \pi/2 - \tau - \pi - \tau - \text{echo}, \quad (2)$$

with T varying and τ fixed and short.

Two factors conspire to complicate the measurement of intrinsic lifetimes in anisotropic magnetic clusters. First, in

a crystal the magnetic cores are typically separated by rather small distances of the order of 1 nm to 10 nm, and are therefore coupled by dipolar interactions. For two free electron spins, the dipolar interaction is of the order of $100/r^3$ MHz $\cdot$ nm^3 [26], so this dipolar coupling is the dominant relaxation mechanism limiting T_2 in crystals of clusters. Second, clusters tend to exhibit strongly axial behavior with significant zero-field splittings; this lifts the degeneracy of the $\Delta m_S = \pm 1$ transitions in high spin ($S > 1/2$) molecules, and leads to a strong dependence of the transition energies on the orientation with respect to the external magnetic field. Thus if we are to study a particular transition in an ensemble of identical molecules, they must be orientationally ordered. The standard approach to solving the first problem, dissolving the crystal (thereby increasing the average separation of the clusters), leads to a second problem, an ensemble of randomly oriented, highly axial molecules.

We chose the two compounds studied here with these factors in mind. Cr$_7$Ni has a ground state spin of $S = 1/2$, so it exhibits a single ESR transition and, therefore, no zero-field splitting; the anisotropy of the g factor, which is small, is the only contribution to a dependence of the transition energy on external magnetic field orientation. A dilute dissolved sample of this material is therefore amenable to ESR measurements without causing problems associated with the orientational disorder. Cr$_7$Mn is a very closely related compound with a ground state spin of $S = 1$; the similarity in its structure leads us to expect that it should share relaxation mechanisms with Cr$_7$Ni, but its higher spin allows us to examine the consequences of the zero-field splitting. For example, a modulation of the zero-field splitting, which might occur as a result of coupling with thermally excited mechanical deformations of the molecule, provides further spin-lattice and phase-coherence relaxation mechanisms [27].

Samples were prepared as reported elsewhere [17], and dissolved in toluene. The solution was diluted progressively until the results reported below were no longer dependent on concentration, indicating that the dipolar coupling between clusters had become negligible; this occurred for concentrations below approximately 0.2 mg/ml, corresponding to a mean separation of clusters in excess of about 25 nm.

Figure 1 shows echo-detected ESR as a function of the applied magnetic field measured at 4.5 K for (a) Cr$_7$Ni and (b) Cr$_7$Mn. The spectrum for Cr$_7$Ni shows a single narrow line, as expected for an $S = 1/2$ species. The line shape is approximately Gaussian, with a width of about 0.01 T, suggesting that the broadening is due to inhomogeneity of the cluster environment. In contrast, the spectrum for Cr$_7$Mn is broad and contains structure that is characteristic of an $S = 1$ species with a zero-field splitting exceeding the microwave energy. Also shown is a simulated powder spectrum [28] for an $S = 1$ species with the Hamiltonian


FIG. 1 (color online).   X-band echo-detected ESR as a function of magnetic field for (a) Cr$_7$Ni and (b) Cr$_7$Mn measured at 4.5 K (blue), and the simulated powder spectrum for a species with $S = 1$, $g = 2$, $D = 21$ GHz, $E = 1.9$ GHz (green). In this experiment, the intensity of a Hahn-echo signal with short ($\tau = 300$ ns) delays is measured as a function of the applied magnetic field. Selective pulses, of 64 ns for a $\pi/2$ pulse and 128 ns for a π pulse, ensure that only spins within a window of about 0.3 mT are excited. Using a broad integration window suppresses ^{1}H ESEEM effects. The echo intensity is proportional to the ESR excitation spectrum. The fine structure in the data close to 0.33 T is an artifact of the cavity.

$$\mathcal{H} = g\mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S} + D S_z^2 + E(S_x^2 - S_y^2) \qquad (3)$$

with parameters $g = 1.9$, $D = 21$ GHz, and $E = 1.9$ GHz, which reproduces the main features of the data [green line in Fig. 1(b)]; deviations are probably due to the fact that in the experiment, the pulses deviate from perfect π and $\pi/2$ rotations at different parts of the spectrum because the transition probabilities depend strongly on orientation. Both spectra show some low-amplitude fine structure close to 0.33 T; these lines arise from impurities in the cavity and are present in the absence of the sample.

Figure 2(a) shows the intensity of Hahn echoes measured in Cr$_7$Ni as a function of the delay time τ [defined in Eq. (1)], for long, selective pulses (blue) and short, broadband pulses (cyan). The echo generated by broadband pulses exhibits very strong modulations (electron-spin echo envelope modulation, or ESEEM) with some second harmonic content, associated with coupling of the electron spin to nearby nuclear moments [26]. The nuclear frequency extracted from the modulation, 16.6 ± 0.1 MHz, indicates that the relevant nuclei are protons. This ESEEM can be suppressed by using longer, selective pulses, as shown by the blue line in Fig. 2(a); this echo decay is well described by a monoexponential fit (green dashed line), yielding a coherence time of $T_2 = 379 \pm 1$ ns at 4.5 K.

The strong coupling to protons may provide an efficient phase decoherence path, reducing T_2 [29]. A direct test of

FIG. 2 (color online).   (a) Decay of the Hahn-echo intensity in
Cr_7Ni as a function of delay time for long, selective pulses (64 ns
$\pi/2$ pulse, 128 ns π pulse, blue) and for short broadband pulses
(16 ns $\pi/2$ pulse, 32 ns π pulse, cyan). (b) Decay of the Hahn-
echo intensity for long, selective pulses in perdeuterated Cr_7Ni.
Dashed green lines indicate fits to the data. The fit in (b) assumes
that the ESEEM effect is dominated by a single harmonic at the
^{2}D Zeeman frequency [31]. (Note the different horizontal axis
scales.)

whether this is indeed an important relaxation mechanism
is to measure the same Hahn-echo decay in the perdeuter-
ated analogue compound, as shown in Fig. 2(b). ^{2}D has a
gyromagnetic ratio about 6 times smaller than ^{1}H; the
ESEEM frequency is correspondingly about 6 times
smaller at 2.556 ± 0.005 MHz, and T_2 is about 6 times
longer, at 2210 ± 20 ns. This confirms that the coupling to
protons dominates the spin decoherence in the hydrogen-
ated sample. (Note that we were unable to decrease the
bandwidth of the pulses sufficiently to suppress the lower
frequency ESEEM in the deuterated compound.)

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependences of T_1 and
T_2 for each compound. T_1 is comparable between the
Cr_7Ni and Cr_7Mn, and increases rapidly as the temperature
falls. This suggests that thermal processes (such as cou-
plings to phonons) are responsible for the longitudinal
relaxation. At low temperatures, there is a variation of a
factor of about two in T_1 measured at different points in the
spectrum of Cr_7Mn. Exciting different parts of the powder
spectrum corresponds to selecting subpopulations of mole-
cules by orientation. These orientational subpopulations
relax at different rates, showing that the magnetic anisot-
ropy plays at least some role in the longitudinal relaxation,
probably through spectral diffusion effects [30]. There is
no such variation of T_2.

In each compound, T_2 also increases as the temperature
is decreased, though less dramatically than T_1, and there
are signs that it begins to saturate at temperatures below
about 2 K. There are two interesting observations: first,

FIG. 3 (color online).   (a) T_1 as a function of temperature in
Cr_7Ni (blue open circles) and Cr_7Mn (red open squares). (b) T_2
as a function of temperature for Cr_7Ni (blue open circles),
Cr_7Mn (red open squares), and perdeuterated Cr_7Ni (blue filled
circles).

there is very little difference between the hydrogenated
Cr_7Ni and Cr_7Mn, despite the strong magnetic anisotropy
of the latter; second, the factor of about six between the
decoherence times for the perdeuterated and hydrogenated
Cr_7Ni is retained over the whole temperature range over
which a Hahn echo is measurable. Both observations sup-
port the hypothesis that dipolar coupling with ^{1}H or ^{2}D
nuclei (which belong to organic ligands of the magnetic
cluster and are well-distributed about the core [17]) domi-
nates the phase decoherence in these materials. Phase
decoherence arising from fluctuations of the zero-field
splitting in Cr_7Mn is negligible in comparison over the
temperature range studied here.

In conclusion, we have measured intrinsic spin-lattice
(T_1) relaxation times and, for the first time (to the best of
our knowledge), the phase-coherence (T_2) relaxation times
in molecular magnets. We find that in the heterometallic
clusters Cr_7Ni and Cr_7Mn, T_1 is long and somewhat de-
pendent on the magnetic anisotropy of the cluster, but that
T_2 is dominated by the coupling to the nuclear moments of
protons in the vicinity of the cluster. There is no evidence
of coupling between the magnetic cluster and the fluorine
nuclei, which had previously been identified as a potential
decoherence path [9]. Futhermore, we find that the intrinsic
phase-coherence time T_2 exceeds previous (worst-case)
expectations by 3 orders of magnitude, reaching 0.55 μs

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2000).

[2] B. Kane, Nature (London) **393**, 133 (1998).

[3] D. Loss and D. P. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 120 (1998).

[4] R. Vrijen, E. Yablonovitch, K. Wang, H. W. Jiang, A. Balandin, V. Roychowdhury, T. Mor, and D. DiVincenzo, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 012306 (2000).

[5] W. Harneit, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032322 (2002).

[6] J. J. L. Morton, A. M. Tyryshkin, A. Ardavan, S. C. Benjamin, K. Porfyrakis, S. A. Lyon, and G. A. D. Briggs, Nature Phys. **2**, 40 (2006).

[7] M. Leuenberger and D. Loss, Nature (London) **410**, 789 (2001).

[8] J. Tejada, E. Chudnovsky, E. del Barco, J. Hernandez, and T. Spiller, Nanotechnology **12**, 181 (2001).

[9] F. Troiani, A. Ghirri, M. Affronte, S. Carretta, P. Santini, G. Amoretti, S. Piligkos, G. Timco, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 207208 (2005).

[10] S. J. Blundell and F. L. Pratt, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **16**, R771 (2004).

[11] S. Hill, R. S. Edwards, N. Aliaga-Alcalde, and G. Christou, Science **302**, 1015 (2003).

[12] D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli, and J. Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2006).

[13] R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi, and M. Novak, Nature (London) **365**, 141 (1993).

[14] T. Lis, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B **36**, 2042 (1980).

[15] N. V. Gerbeleu, Y. T. Struchkov, G. A. Timco, A. S. Batsanov, K. M. Indrichan, and G. A. Popovich, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **313**, 1459 (1990).

[16] J. van Slageren, R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. A. Smith, M. Helliwell, R. E. P. Winpenny, A. Cornia, A.-L. Barra, E. R. Aloysius G. M. Jansen, and G. A. Timco, Chem. Eur. J. **8**, 277 (2002).

[17] F. Larsen, E. McInnes, H. E. Mkami, J. Overgaard, S. Piligkos, G. Rajaraman, E. Rentschler, A. Smith, G. Smith, and V. Boote *et al.*, Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. **42**, 101 (2003).

[18] A. Cornia, D. Gatteschi, and R. Sessoli, Coord. Chem. Rev. **219–221**, 573 (2001).

[19] F. Fominaya, J. Villain, P. Gandit, J. Chaussy, and A. Caneschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1126 (1997).

[20] I. Mirebeau, M. Hennion, H. Casalta, H. Andres, H. U. Güdel, A. V. Irodova, and A. Caneschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 628 (1999).

[21] M. Hennion, L. Pardi, I. Mirebeau, E. Suard, R. Sessoli, and A. Caneschi, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 8819 (1997).

[22] R. Caciuffo, T. Guidi, G. Amoretti, S. Carretta, E. Liviotti, P. Santini, C. Mondelli, G. Timco, C. A. Muryn, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 174407 (2005).

[23] D. Gatteschi, A. L. Barra, A. Caneschi, A. Cornia, R. Sessoli, and L. Sorace, Coord. Chem. Rev. **250**, 1514 (2006).

[24] E. McInnes, Structure and Bonding (Berlin) **122**, 69 (2006) gives a comprehensive account of spectroscopy (ESR, NMR, and INS) of single molecule magnets.

[25] W. Wernsdorfer, D. Mailly, G. A. Timco, and R. E. P. Winpenny, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 060409 (2005).

[26] A. Schweiger and G. Jeschke, *Principles of Pulse Electron Paramagnetic Resonance* (Oxford University Press, New York, 2001).

[27] A. Carrington and G. G. R. Luckhurst, Mol. Phys. **8**, 125 (1964).

[28] S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson. **178**, 42 (2006).

[29] N. V. Prokof'ev and P. C. E. Stamp, Rep. Prog. Phys. **63**, 669 (2000).

[30] S. S. Eaton and G. R. Eaton, in *Relaxation Times of Organic Radicals and Transition Metal Ions*, edited by G. R. Eaton, S. S. Eaton, and L. J. Berliner, Biological Magnetic Resonance Vol. 19 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000), pp. 29–154.

[31] S. A. Dikanov and Yu. D. Tsvetkov, *Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy* (CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992).