



Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14)

# **Students' Reports of Their Experiences of School in Year 9**

Pam Sammons, Kathy Sylva, Edward Melhuish, Iram Siraj-Blatchford, Brenda Taggart, Rebecca Smees, Diana Draghici and Katalin Toth



# The EPPSE 3-14 Research Team

# **Principal Investigators**

# **Professor Kathy Sylva**

Department of Educational Studies, University of Oxford 00 44 (0)1865 274 008 / email kathy.sylva@edstud.ox.ac.uk

#### **Professor Edward Melhuish**

Institute for the Study of Pupils, Families and Social Issues Birkbeck University of London 00 44 (0)207 079 0834 / email e.melhuish@bbk.ac.uk

#### **Professor Pam Sammons**

Department of Educational Studies, University of Oxford 00 44 (0)1865 274 142/ email <a href="mailto:pamela.sammons@education.ox.ac.uk">pamela.sammons@education.ox.ac.uk</a>

# **Professor Iram Siraj-Blatchford**

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 612 6218 / email i.siraj-blatchford@ioe.ac.uk

#### \*Brenda Taggart

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 612 6219 / email b.taggart@ioe.ac.uk

#### **Research Officers**

#### **Dr Katalin Toth**

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 911 5587 / email k.toth@ioe.ac.uk

# **Diana Draghici**

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 612 6608 / email d.draghici@ioe.ac.uk

#### Dr Aziza Mayo

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 331 5110 / email <u>a.mayo@ioe.ac.uk</u>

#### Rebecca Smees

Department of Education, University of Oxford 00 44 (0)1865 274 142 / email pamela.sammons@education.ox.ac.uk

#### \*\*Wesley Welcomme

Institute of Education, University of London 00 44 (0)207 612 6684 / email wwelcomme@ioe.ac.uk

- \*Also Research Co-ordinator
- \*\* Also Tracking Officer

# **Authors**

Pam Sammons

Kathy Sylva

**Edward Melhuish** 

Iram Siraj-Blatchford

Brenda Taggart

Rebecca Smees

Diana Draghici

Katalin Toth

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The EPPSE 3-14 project is a major longitudinal study funded by the Department for Education (DfE). The research would not be possible without the support and co-operation of the six Local Authorities (LAs), and the many pre-school centres, primary schools, secondary schools, and the young people and parents participating in the research.

The views expressed in this report are the authors' and do not necessarily reflect those of the Department for Education

© Institute of Education 2011

# **Contents**

Executive summary	i
Introduction	1
Aims	1
Methods	2
Structure of the paper and analyses	2
Section 1: Characteristics of the sample at the end of Year 9	3
Section 2: Students' experiences of school at the end of Year 9	7
Section 3: Students' experiences of school and data analysis	17
Section 4: Links between individual, family and Home Learning characteristics and students' experiences of school in Year 9	21
Section 5: Summary and conclusions	27
Appendix 1: Differences between student groups in their experiences of school	29
Appendix 2: Multilevel analysis results of contextualised experiences of school models	31
Appendix 3: Multilevel analyses of peer data for views of school	39
Glossary	40
References	48

#### **Executive Summary**

The EPPSE project investigates a number of different outcomes, both affective and developmental in the light of a growing interest in assessing the multi functional nature of schooling, such as promoting well being, social behaviour and positive attitudes or dispositions towards learning. This report focuses on the self-reports from students concerning their school experiences and classroom environment (which we term views or reports of school), and the development of robust measures (factors) of school processes and learning experiences that have been incorporated into further analyses of student outcomes. Reports on students' dispositions, academic and social-behavioural development at this age are published separately (Sammons et al. 2011a; Sammons et al. 2011b; Sammons et al. 2011c).

The original EPPE sample was recruited to the study at age 3 years plus and monitored to the end of Key Stage 3 (Year 9) in secondary school. An additional home sample of children (who had not attended a pre-school setting) was recruited when the pre-school sample started primary school. In addition to exploring pre-school influences, the EPPSE 3-14 research is designed to identify the influence of primary and secondary school on students' later educational outcomes and trajectories, as well as to investigate any continuing pre-school effects.

EPPSE 3-14 involves the collection and analysis of a wide range of quantitative data about students' development and its relation to child, family, home learning environment (HLE) characteristics and the characteristics of the pre-schools they attended. In addition 'value added' measures of primary school academic effectiveness¹ were added to the extensive data base along with the Department of Education's (DfE) Contexual Value Added (CVA) measure and the Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted) inspection judgements. These additional school measure enabled comparisons to be made with effectiveness and quality indictors collected during the pre-school and primary phases of the research. Thus it is possible to explore various features of pre-school, primary school and secondary influence on students' dispositions in Year 9.

The analyses reported here are based on one of two separate questionnaires administered to students at the end of Year 9 (age 14). The questionnaire asked about their experiences of school and classroom life (which we term views or reports of school). A similar questionnaire was administered when these students were they were in primary school in Year 5 (age 10)<sup>2</sup>. The questionnaire items were derived from existing measures and adapted for use with this age group. Other questions were been taken or adapted from The School Climate Assessment Instrument (Grosin and McNamara 2001) and from the Louisiana ABC+ model (Teddlie and Stringfield 1993).

As students views of school were collected from Year 5 analyses of both primary and secondary views of school have been possible (see Sammons et al 2008a, 2008b). A range of statistical methods has been used to investigate results for 1752 students, for whom a least one out of the eight experiences of school measures was available, representing 63% per cent of the students in the EPPSE 3-11 sample.

#### Aims of the study of views of school in Year 9

The aims of the analyses were to:

- examine students' questionnaire responses to identify and calculate underlying dimensions (factors) related to their experiences of school at the end of Key Stage 3 (KS3). T hese robust factors provide summary measures of important school and classroom processes.
- to explore students' responses to the questionnaire on their experiences of school in Year 9
- to explore students' experiences of school in Year 9 for different student groups (based on gender, FSM, parental qualifications, and early years Home Learning Environment)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Derived from independent statistical analyses of national data sets conducted for all primary schools in England see Melhuish et al 2006a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A shorter questionnaire was administered in Year 2 that focused on Enjoyment of school and pupil dispositions

 to explore the combined impact of individual, parent and home learning (HLE) characteristics on students' experiences of school measures at the end of KS3;

In addition, the analyses describe the variation in students' experiences of school how these differ for particular student groups.

#### **Key findings**

Both individual items and factors from the All about me at school questionnaire were analysed.

# Measuring views of school in Year 9

The analysis of the Year 9 'All about me at school' student questionnaire revealed eight underlying factors that relate to views of school, some overlapping with the outcomes derived from the pupil questionnaires administered in Year 5.

The first factor *Teacher support* relates to support given by teachers in terms of returning homework, feedback, praise, help and support. The second factor School environment looks at how students view aspects of the physical space, such as buildings and decorative state as well as its reputation. The third factor Valuing students relates to valuing and listening to students views, respecting students, and general levels of positive behaviour towards them by school staff. The fourth factor Headteacher qualities assesses how much the headteacher's presence is seen around school, their interest in students and how much they help students to behave. The fifth factor Poor behaviour climate, reflects the general student behaviour in terms of students being given a hard time by others if they work hard, level of compliance with school rules, fighting and weapons being brought into school, as well as whether students wish to leave as soon as they can. The sixth factor Emphasis on learning addresses how important students and teaching staff feel learning and academic achievement is, specifically in relation to doing well in exams, expectations to do their best, understanding and learning in lessons. The seventh factor Teacher discipline reflects the level of control in class in terms of behaviour, noise, rule breaking and student time keeping. Lastly, Learning resources measures reported levels of practical resources related to computers, science labs, and library facilities.

#### Students' view on school in Year 9

Individual question responses to the self report questionnaire were initially analysed. On the whole students were positive about their secondary school experience in KS3. Students were generally extremely positive about the 'emphasis on learning' and 'teacher support' in school but were less favourable in their views of the 'poor behaviour climate' and aspects of the 'school environment'<sup>3</sup>. We highlight some of the key findings below.

**The school environment:** Four out of five students thought their school was a good school and nine out of ten thought the school was well organised. However, approximately a third of students did not feel that their school buildings were attractive or their classrooms were nicely decorated. The area where students were least positive was the condition of the school toilets. Nearly half of students felt that the toilets were not well cared for and clean.

**Learning resources:** The quality of the libraries, the number of computers, science labs and the amount of textbooks were thought of as generally good. For example, nine out of ten students thought they had a good library. Three quarters of students believe that there were enough computers. However nearly one in five of students thought they had poor sports facilities.

Students were less positive about the amount of time given for computer work. A third of students thought they were not given enough time in lessons to work on computers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Items in the analysis of individual questions were grouped for reporting purposes under these headings. In some cases they included items not included in the final factors analysed later.

**Emphasis on learning:** The most positive responses related to the level of teacher care and their expectations for their students. Nearly all students agreed that 'teachers always expect me to do my best', want them to understand something, not just memorise it, believe that mistakes are OK so long as they learn. Only a very small minority (less than one in ten students) felt that the teacher did not care whether they worked.

**Teacher support:** Nearly all students felt that the teachers told them how to make their work better and that their teacher would help them if they asked for help.

Students were slightly less positive about the level of 'teacher support' in other areas, although the majority of students still reported extremely favourable views. Four out of five students agreed that they were given support in terms of help to feel confident about their work, received helpful comments, the teacher being available to talk to privately and received praise when they work hard.

However the one area where students were significantly less positive was the extent to which students offered them individualised work to do. Only a quarter of students responded that they were sometimes given individualised work to do.

**Headteacher qualities:** Headteachers were rated very favourably overall particularly in relation to keeping good discipline and their level of interest in students. Nine out of ten students felt that 'headteacher makes sure students behave well' and similar levels reported that their headteacher was 'interested in how much they learn'. Fewer students reported that they saw the headteacher around school, where a quarter of students reported they did not often see the headteacher around the school.

**Valuing students:** Approximately three quarters of students reported that teachers in their school showed 'respect for all pupils' and believed their school 'valued pupil views' and slightly less felt that 'teachers listen to what pupils say about the school'. Most students reported that teachers were friendly to students and that they were consulted about school rules.

**Poor behaviour climate:** Students saw their own behaviour and that of other as good in some areas, with serious behaviours such as bullying and the carrying of weapons being rarely reported. Nearly all students agreed that they did not bully others but one in ten students reported they were aware that students in their school carried weapons. However approximately half of students thought 'pupils took no notice of school rules' and 'fights in and around school were common', with half of students reporting fights occurring 'often' in and around their school.

There were some negative reports of low level behaviour issues in the classroom with three quarters of students responding that 'other people's bad behaviour often makes it difficult to learn' and just over a fifth of students responding that they messed around in class.

**Teacher Discipline:** Overall students were positive about teacher discipline. Over nine out of ten students believed that 'teachers make it clear how I should behave', that 'teachers take action when rules are broken', and that 'teachers make the aims of lessons clear<sup>4</sup>'.

Whilst the majority of students thought 'teachers make sure that it is quiet in lessons' this still leaves nearly a third of students reporting that the teachers do not make sure that it is quiet during their lessons.

Academic success: Nearly all students believed that doing well in exams was important although over half felt that their school put too much emphasis on GCSE results. Approximately a third of students indicated that students who worked hard were given a hard time by others and believed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In the analyses this item loaded into this factor suggesting there is a strong link between the clarity of the aims of lessons and students' behaviour.

other students wanted to leave school as soon as they could Only one in ten students responded that the work was too hard for them.

#### Differences between pupil groups in views of school

Gender, family poverty (measured by Free School Meals [FSM] entitlement), parents highest qualification level, and the early years home learning environment (HLE) were significant predictors of students' views of school.

#### Gender

Gender differences in students' views of school were found for a small number of items. Boys were significantly more positive than girls on only a few items and differences were small. These items were related to the sports facilities, returning homework, and taking notice of school rules, and fights in and around school. Girls were more positive in other areas. Substantial differences between girls and boys (girls more positive) were found for reporting teachers being unpleasant if they make mistakes, and bullying. Boys were also more likely to report messing around in class and that 'pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others'.

# Family poverty

Students who were eligible for free school meals (FSM) were somewhat less favourable than other students in their views of secondary school for just under half of the items, although, many of these differences were relatively small. Larger differences were found for the following domains: 'poor behaviour climate', 'teacher support', 'learning resources' and academic emphasis<sup>5</sup>, where students entitled to FSM had more negative views than other students.

The biggest differences were reported for items related to fights in and around school, teachers being available to speak to them privately and students wanting to leave school as soon as they could. For example, two thirds of students with higher levels of family poverty (eligible for FSM) reported fights in or around school compared to just under half of non-FSM students.

#### Parental qualifications

Parent's highest qualification levels<sup>6</sup> were found to be related to more positive student views of school. Items where the differences were particularly marked related primarily to the factors 'poor behaviour climate', 'teacher support', 'learning resources' and academic emphasis.

The items where the biggest differences were reported were 'most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can', 'there are often fights (in or around school)', and 'most students take no notice of school rules'. For example, over half of students whose parents had no qualifications thought students wanted to leave school as soon as they could compared to a fifth students with parents who had the highest level of qualifications. Similarly, nearly two thirds of students whose parents had no qualifications reported fights often occurred in or around their school compared to a third of students with parents who had higher level qualifications.

#### Early years home learning environment (HLE)

Students who had experienced a more enriched early years HLE reported more positive views of school in Year 9 for many questionnaire items. They were much less likely to report 'poor behaviour climate' and poorer academic emphasis.

<sup>5</sup> **Academic emphasis** in this analysis does not constitute a separate factor but a number of items were grouped under this heading for reporting purposes. These items did not load on other factors used in the analyses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The highest parental qualification was taken and qualifications were combined to form three groups: No qualifications, School/vocational qualifications (16 Academic, 18 Academic and Vocational) and higher qualifications (degree, higher degree, other professional).

Students from low HLE groups reported higher levels of agreement with more negative aspects of their school experience. Likewise, students who had experienced a more enriched early years HLE were more likely think their school had a good reputation, and were less likely to report that they bullied others.

# The combined impact of student, family and home characteristics on students' views of school

The findings reported here are from the multilevel analysis of 'views of school' factors.

The analyses highlight the influence of a range of student, family and HLE factors that predict variation in students' views of school. An analysis that contextualised student outcomes in terms of these factors was carried out. The results show that individual student, family background and HLE factors have weaker relationships with students' views of school than with their academic outcomes in Year 9 (see Sammons et al 2011a), and some aspects of student's social behaviour (see Sammons et al 2011b). These results are in accord with those found previously when the sample was in primary school (Year 5) and also for the concurrent analysis of student dispositions (Sammons et al 2011c). The reduction in variance at both the school and student level was very small for most outcomes suggesting that background characteristics play only a small role in their views of school.

The multilevel analyses revealed significant variation between schools in the views of students and this was supported by an additional analysis of questionnaires returned from the peers of the EPPSE students.

# Pupil background

Girls reported significantly more positive views than boys in terms of their 'emphasis on learning', although the effect was small. No other gender differences were found for other factors.

Students from different ethnic groups were compared to the majority ethnic group, White UK, to explore any significant differences in their views of school. Most ethnic groups did not differ in their views from the White UK heritage group, but there were some statistically significant patterns;

- Pakistani heritage students tended to report more favourable views relating to 'valuing students'.
- Black Caribbean heritage students, on the whole, had less favourable views for 'valuing students', as did students from Mixed heritage groups. Black Caribbean heritage students also less positive about the 'school environment'.
- Black African heritage group, on the whole, had more favourable views for 'emphasis on learning'.

Given the small numbers such ethnic differences should be treated with caution but the findings do suggest that ethnic minority students have slightly different experiences of school or views of themselves than the majority White UK group.

Students for whom English was an additional language (as measured in the early years) had significantly more favourable views of 'headteacher qualities', 'emphasis on learning', and 'teacher discipline' than non-EAL students.

Students who had been identified by their parents as having health problems in the early years (two or more problems) tended to report poorer views of 'teacher support'.

# Family background

Poverty status was measured using the Free Schools Meals (FSM) entitlement measure in Year 9. Students entitled to FSM were found to have poorer views of the 'behaviour climate' of their school than students not entitled to FSM.

Behaviour climate throughout this document refers to the factor Poor Behaviour climate

The highest socio-economic level of either parent (family SES) was also tested, based on their occupation in KS1. Students from 'Skilled Non Manual', 'Skilled manual', 'Semi-skilled' and 'Unemployed' families had less favourable views of 'teacher discipline' and students from 'Skilled Non Manual', 'Semi-skilled' and 'Unemployed' families had less favourable views of 'valuing students' than students from a Professional Non manual household. Students from 'Semi-skilled' families had less favourable views of the 'behaviour climate' than students from a Professional Non manual household.

Employment status was also collected earlier in KS1 and mother's employment was found to be predictive of reports of 'teacher support', 'headteacher qualities', and 'teacher discipline'. Students who had mothers who were employed full time and studying (in KS1) were found to have less favourable views of 'teacher support' than students whose mothers were not working. Students who had mothers who were employed full time (whether studying or not) and 'other' employment status (in KS1) were found to have less favourable views of 'headteacher qualities' than students whose mothers were not working. Students who had mothers who were employed full time (in KS1) were found to have less favourable views of 'teacher discipline' than students whose mothers were not working. In addition, students whose mothers were self employed in the early years were found to have more favourable views of 'poor behaviour climate' than students whose mothers were not working.

The qualification level of both parents was also examined. Students of parents who had higher qualifications (degree, higher degree) were found to have more favourable views for 'poor behaviour climate than students with parents with no qualifications. Students with fathers with an academic qualification at 16 years were also more favourable about the 'poor behaviour climate. Students of fathers who had at least academic qualifications from aged 18 (18 academic, degree, higher degree) had a more positive view of the 'learning resources' in their school.

Students from families with the second to highest earned income band (£37,500-£66,000) measured earlier in KS2 were more likely to report poorer 'valuing students' and poorer 'school environment' scores than students from a family with no earned income.

The marital status of parents showed a small but significant effect. Compared to students living in married households in KS2, students from households where their parents were living together but not legally married reported less favourable views on 'valuing students' and less favourable views of the 'behaviour climate' of their school. In addition, compared to students living in married households in KS2, students from single parent households reported less favourable views of the 'school environment'.

#### Home learning environment

The student's early years HLE has not been found to be a significant predictor of views of school in Year 9. However, later Home Learning indicators showed a positive link to views of 'teacher support', 'teacher discipline' and 'school environment'. Students with high and medium levels of parent/child interaction in KS1 reported more positive views of 'teacher support' and 'teacher discipline' in Year 9 compared to those with low levels of parent/child interaction. In a separate analysis of dispositions in Year 9, students with high and medium levels of parent/child interaction in KS1 also reported higher 'popularity' levels. High levels of parent/child interaction and outings in KS1 were also found to be predictive of more positive views of the 'school environment'.

Students with high levels for the home learning indicator 'outings' showed more positive views of 'school environment' than students with low levels. Students with medium levels for the home learning indicator 'computer games' showed less positive views of 'teacher discipline' than students with low levels.

#### Relationship to Special Educational Needs

Students who have been identified as having a special need in Year 9 (SEN) also show a number of less favourable views of school. Students who are at the School Action plus stage of the SEN register report less favourable views for 'emphasis on learning', a poorer view of the 'behaviour climate' (higher scores on 'poor behaviour climate'), and less favourable views of the 'school environment'. In addition, students with a full statement report poorer views of the 'behaviour climate' (higher scores on 'poor behaviour climate'), and poorer 'learning resources'.

#### Variation between schools

The contextualised multilevel analyses show that there is large secondary school-level variance (Year 9) in students' views of school for some outcomes, when account is taken of intake differences. These findings are in contrast to the findings on student dispositions in Year 9, where only one outcome showed school level variation (Sammons et al 2011c). As the number of students per secondary school is low, an additional analysis was carried out on questionnaires returned from the peers of the EPPSE students<sup>8</sup>. This ensured that the number of students per school included in the analyses was much higher

Significant secondary school level variance was found for all factors related to 'views of school' in Year 9, and for some factors this was substantial. Particularly high variation between schools was found for factors that measured students' views of 'head teacher qualities', 'poor behaviour climate' and 'school environment'. It also pointed to significant variation in 'learning resources' and 'teacher support'9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> On average 25 'peer' students in the 67 schools in the analysis were available for the peer analysis of school

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  Peer data on views of school was also analysed and found similar school level variation to the EPPSE dataset.

# Introduction

This report presents the results of analyses related to students' experiences in Year 9 (age 14), with the purpose of creating measures of both school and classroom life as experiences by students.

These measures have used in the analysis of academic and social-behavioural outcomes as well as dispositions to investigate whether a student's reported experience of school can significantly predict outcomes in other areas.

The original EPPSE pre-school child sample (2,800) was recruited to the study at age 3 years plus. An additional 300 children who had not attended a pre-school setting (the 'home group) were recruited when the pre-school sample started primary school. The full sample (approximately 3,000 children) was then monitored to the end of Key Stage 1 (age 7, Year 2). The sample was followed again to the end of Key Stage 2 (age 11, Year 6). This third extension, the EPPSE 3-14 study has followed up the sample to the end of Key Stage 3 (age 14, Year 9). The longitudinal research design investigates the influence of primary and secondary school influences on students' educational outcomes in Year 9 (academic, social-behavioural and affective), as well as investigating any continuing pre-school effects.

As well as children's academic and social-behavioural outcome data, the EPPSE 3-14 study collected and analysed a wide range of information on individual child, family and home learning environment (HLE) characteristics, as well as information on the pre-schools the children attended.

Additional 'value added' measures of primary and secondary school academic effectiveness (derived from independent statistical analyses of national data sets) and selected indicators of school quality (measured by Ofsted inspection judgements) have been used in the analyses. These indicators about primary and secondary schools complement the measures collected earlier on the pre-school setting attended. The research therefore explores pre-school, primary and secondary school influences on students' outcomes in Year 9 both separately and jointly.

The *All about Me at School* student questionnaire was designed to explore individual students' views about school and classroom life (through self report) and was collected in both Year 5 and Year 9 (aged 10 and 14). A range of statistical methods have been used to investigate results for 1752 students.

#### **Aims**

The aims of the analyses were to:

- examine students' questionnaire responses to identify and calculate underlying dimensions (factors) related to their experiences of school at the end of Key Stage 3 (KS3). These robust factors provide summary measures of important school and classroom processes.
- to explore students' responses to the questionnaire on their experiences of school in Year 9;
- to explore students' experiences of school in Year 9 for different student groups (based on gender, FSM, parental qualifications, and early years HLE);
- to explore the combined impact of individual, parent and HLE characteristics on students' experiences of school measures at the end of KS3.

In addition, the analyses describe the variation in students' experiences of school how these differ for particular student groups.

#### Methods

The findings reported rely on descriptive analyses and complex techniques such as exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis and multilevel analysis. The primary purpose of the analyses described here was the identification of measure of school and classroom experiences that could be investigated as predictors of other outcomes (academic, social-behavioural, dispositions). Principal components analysis was used to examine underlying dimensions in students' experiences of school. Confirmatory factor analysis was then conducted to create a more robust overall model of views of school in Year 9. In addition, multilevel models analysed the extent to which different individual background characteristics (including individual student, family, home learning) predict students' experiences of school in Year 9.

The paper focuses on the analysis of individual questions from the Year 9 *All about me in school* student questionnaire, administered at the end of Year 9, and eight measures (factors) derived from a selection of these questionnaire items. A similar questionnaire was administered to children at the end of Year 5 (Sammons et al 2008a).

Multilevel models provide accurate estimates of the impact of different individual or school characteristics on student outcomes (Goldstein 1995). They are used to explore institutional influences by partitioning variance into individual and higher levels (e.g. pre-school centre or primary or secondary school) reflecting clustering in the sample.

Background information about individual child, parent and family characteristics, was obtained initially through parent interviews conducted soon after children were recruited to the study. The parent interviews were designed to obtain information about a child's health and care history, details of family structure and parents' own educational and occupational backgrounds as well as some indications of parent-child activities. In most cases the parent interviews were conducted within 10 weeks of recruiting a child to the study and an excellent response rate (97%) was achieved. It should be noted that most interviews were with student's mothers and usually took place at the child's pre-school centre, although for some working parents telephone interviews were found to be more convenient.

Subsequently parents were asked to provide information via questionnaires about child, parent and family characteristics when the children were in Key Stage 1 of primary school (age approximately 6 years). Details were sought regarding any change in background information (in employment, income, family structure, number of siblings etc) as well as information on aspects of the HLE in Key Stage 1. The response rate obtained was 80.6 per cent<sup>10</sup>. Further information was collected by means of a parent questionnaire in Key Stage 2.

#### Structure of the report

This report is divided into five sections.

Section 1: gives details about the characteristics of the EPPSE 3-14 sample included in this analysis, compared to the overall sample and EPPSE sample at the start of primary school.

Section 2: describes how students answered selected individual questions on the Year 9 *All about Me at school* questionnaire related to their school experience, as well as significant differences between pupil groups in responses to individual questions.

Section 3: describes how the Year 9 students' measures of views of school were created using exploratory and confirmatory analysis of the self-report questionnaire items.

Section 4: investigates whether particular groups of students show differences in their experiences of school at the end of Year 9 that are predicted by their individual, family and HLE background characteristics and whether significant variation between schools in these measures exists.

Finally, Section 5 summarises the results drawing together the main findings and conclusions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Between the initial assessment at entry to pre-school and the Reception assessment 139 children dropped out of the study. The response rate is based on the corrected sample of 3032 children.

# Section 1: Characteristics of the sample at the end of Year 9

Of the original sample (n=3172) just over half (n= 1752, 55.3%) returned the *All about Me at school*<sup>11</sup> questionnaire. The research design used for the original pre-school phase of the EPPSE study is described in detail in EPPE Technical Paper 1 (Sylva et al. 1999). In summary, six English Local Authorities (LAs) in five regions participated in the research with children recruited from six main types of provision: nursery classes, playgroups, private day nurseries, Local Authority (LA) day care nurseries, nursery schools and integrated (combined) centres.

In order to enable comparison of centre and type of provision effects the project sought to recruit 500 children, 20 in each of 20-25 centres, from the various types of provision. In some LAs certain forms of provision were less common and others more typical. Within each LA, centres of each type were selected by stratified random sampling and, due to the small size of some centres in the project (i.e. rural playgroups) more of these centres were included than originally proposed, bringing the sample total to 141 centres.

In all 2,857 children in the pre-school were tracked to entry in reception at primary school. An additional sample of 314 'home' children (who had not attended a pre-school centre) was added at entry to primary school, for comparison with those who had attended pre-school, bringing the total sample to 3,172.

Once in primary school EPPSE children were asked their views about school life at two time points: Year 2 (age 6) and Year 5 (age 10)<sup>12</sup>. These students were then asked again at the end of Year 9 in secondary school. This section provides descriptive statistics for the sample at the end of Year 9 for whom questionnaire information had been obtained at age 14. Tables 1.1 to 1.2 provide a brief summary of the characteristics of the EPPSE 3-14 students at the end of Year 9 for whom at least one disposition factor score was available (n = 1752).

Table 1.1 provides a brief summary of the characteristics of the students compared to the full sample and those tracked to the start of primary school. In all there were slightly less students form ethnic minority heritage groups in this sample (21.7 per cent) compared to the overall sample and those tracked up to the beginning of primary school (26.9%) and the full sample (27.6%). The proportion having a different mother tongue than English was just under one in ten, in line but slightly lower than the full sample. With respect to family structure, 14.4 per cent of the students lived in large families (3 or more siblings) at entry to pre-school, somewhat higher than the full sample (9.7%). The main sample began with approximately one in ten children who had not attended any type of pre-school (the 'home' group) at the beginning of pre-school age. This is in line with the proportion found in this sample (just under one in ten).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Of the original EPPSE sample recruited to the study (3172), 2798 were followed up to the end of KS3 in Year 9. The response rate to the Year 9 All about Me at School and All About Me at school surveys was 63% of this sample.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Year 2 questionnaire was much shorter than the year 5 or Year 9 questionnaires and did not include items specifically related to their experiences of the school and classroom environment, but included items related to more general enjoyment of school

Table 1.1: Selected background characteristics for the valid sample of Year 9 students included in the views of school analysis compared to the overall sample

the views of school analys	•	vs of school		ple at start	Full E	PPSE
	sample	Year 9		ry school	san	nple
	(n=1	.752)	•	754)		172)
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Gender*:						
Male	839	47.9	1584	51.6	1636	51.6
Female	913	52.1	1485	48.4	536	48.4
Ethnicity*:						
White UK Heritage	1371	78.3	2242	73.1	2295	72.4
White European Heritage	57	3.3	112	3.7	122	3.8
Black Caribbean Heritage	43	2.5	111	3.6	116	3.7
Black African Heritage	25	1.4	63	2.1	66	2.1
Black Other	6	0.3	19	0.6	122	0.7
Indian	39	2.2	65	2.1	67	2.1
Pakistani	85	4.9	165	5.4	177	5.6
Bangladeshi	14	0.8	35	1.1	40	1.3
Chinese	2	0.1	5	0.2	5	0.2
Other	19	1.0	61	2.0	62	2.0
Mixed Heritage	89	5.1	187	6.1	192	6.1
White Non European	2	0.1	4	0.1	4	0.1
English as an additional	155	8.8	326	10.6	354	11.2
language*						
3 or more siblings *	242	14.4	308	9.5	308	9.7
Home Learning						
Environment Index*:						
0-13	127	7.2	289	9.4	308	9.7
14-19	358	20.4	651	21.2	665	21.0
20-24	379	21.6	706	23.0	727	22.9
25-32	585	33.4	938	30.6	960	30.3
33-45	244	13.9	342	11.1	346	10.9
Unknown	59	3.4	143	4.7	166	5.2
Type of pre-school*:						
Nursery Class	380	21.7	585	19.1	588	18.5
Playgroup	335	19.1	578	18.8	609	19.2
Private Day Nursery	362	20.7	501	16.3	516	16.3
Local Authority	208	11.9	415	13.5	433	13.7
Nursery Schools	251	14.3	504	16.4	519	16.4
Integrated (Combined)	65	3.7	171	5.6	192	6.1
Home	151	8.6	315	10.3	315	9.9

<sup>\*</sup> Collected at entry to pre-school

Family background characteristics related to higher social class were also slightly over represented, as shown in Table 1.2. The sample returning questionnaires was found to be a slightly more advantaged group than the overall sample. For example there was a higher proportion of children who had attended private day nursery and students with parents from professional Non-manual social class.

Table 1.2: Selected social class characteristics for the valid sample of Year 9 students included in the views of school analysis compared to the overall sample

the views of school analy	•	s of school	-	le at start of	Full E	PPSE
	sample	Year 9	primary	school	sam	ple
	(n=1	752)	(n=2	754)	(n=3	172)
	%	n	%	n	%	%
Social Class Mother *:						
Professional Non Manual	99	5.7	122	4.0	126	4.0
Other Profess. Non Man.	403	23.0	595	19.4	605	19.1
Skilled Non-Manual	621	35.4	1026	33.4	1053	33.2
Skilled Manual	108	6.2	206	6.7	213	6.7
Semi Skilled	291	16.6	591	19.3	607	19.1
Unskilled	63	3.6	140	4.6	142	4.5
Never Worked	114	6.5	247	8.0	261	8.2
Unknown	53	3.0	142 4.6 165		5.2	
Social Class Father *:						
Professional Non Manual	177	10.1	237	7.7	242	7.6
Other Profess. Non Man.	379	21.6	556	18.1	569	17.9
Skilled Non-Manual	226	12.9	364	11.9	375	11.8
Skilled Manual	419	23.9	734	23.9	747	23.5
Semi Skilled	198	11.3	348	11.3	355	11.2
Unskilled	38	2.2	82	2.7	85	2.7
Never Worked	13	0.7	35	1.1	36	1.1
Unknown	302	17.2	713	23.2	763	24.1
Family Highest SES*:						
Professional Non Manual	207	11.8	275	9.0	281	8.9
Other Profess. Non Man.	499	28.8	759	24.7	776	24.5
Skilled Non-Manual	557	31.8	946	30.8	974	30.7
Skilled Manual	228	13.0	444	14.5	452	14.2
Semi Skilled	176	10.0	391	12.7	406	12.8
Unskilled	25	1.4	76	2.5	79	2.5
Never Worked	31	1.8	84	2.7	88	2.8
Unknown	29	1.7	94	3.1	116	3.7

<sup>\*</sup> Taken from first parent questionnaire

In line with this, fewer students in receipt of FSM returned the all about me questionnaire (13.0% compared to 17.7% of the full sample), and there were less students with multiple disadvantage returning the questionnaire.

Table 1.3: Selected employment and disadvantage characteristics for the valid sample of Year 9 students included in the views of school analysis compared to the overall sample

students included in the views of		vs of school		ple at start		PPSE
	sample	Year 9	of prima	ry school	sam	ple
	(n=1	.752)	(n=2	754)	(n=3	172)
	n	n	%	n	%	%
Income indicator Year 9						
Free school meals	227	13.0	548	17.9	562	17.7
No Free school meals	1451	82.8	2267	73.9	2314	73.0
Unknown	74	4.2	254	8.3	292	9.3
Employment status of mother						
during pre-school period*:						
Not working	765	43.7	1521	49.6	1572	49.6
Working part-time	575	32.8	868	28.3	890	28.1
Working full-time	292	16.7	456	14.9	463	14.6
Self-employed/combination part-						
time & self employed	86	4.9	129	4.2	130	4.1
Unknown	34	1.9	95	3.1	111	3.3
Total Multiple disadvantage*:						
0	443	25.3	637	20.8	644	20.3
1	502	28.7	761	24.8	781	24.6
2	337	19.2	594	19.4	613	19.3
3	166	9.5	379	12.3	391	12.3
4	117	6.7	247	8.0	257	8.1
5+	80	4.6	202	6.6	213	6.7
Unknown	107	6.1	249	8.1	273	8.2
Salary of family during KS1:						
No salary	274	15.6	589	18.5	569	17.9
£2500-15000	284	16.2	484	15.8	485	15.3
£17500-27500	294	16.8	411	13.4	411	13.0
£30000-35000	196	11.2	271	8.8	271	8.5
£37500-66000	345	19.7	470	15.3	470	14.8
£67500-132000+	140	8.0	173	5.6	173	5.5
Unknown	219	12.5	691	22.5	793	25.0
Salary of family during KS2:						
No salary	226	12.9	384	12.5	384	12.1
£2500-15000	276	15.8	415	13.5	415	13.1
£17500-27500	193	11.0	264	8.6	264	8.3
£30000-35000	193	11.0	254	8.3	254	8.6
£37500-66000	374	21.3	470	15.3	470	14.8
£67500-132000+	221	12.6	272	8.9	272	8.6
Unknown	269	15.4	1010	32.9	1113	35.1

<sup>\*</sup> Taken from first parent questionnaire

# Section 2: Students' views of school at the end of Year 9

The survey consisted of 78 questions under the following 14 headings:

Box 2.1: Areas covered by the All about me in school questionnaire, Year 9

All	about me at school questionn	aire: sub-headings
1) What my school is like	6) Doing well	11) How clear are my lessons
2) My school's organisation	7) Lessons	12) Thinking back to when you first started
3) My Headteacher	8) My school's extra support	this school in Year 7
4) Being involved	9) Home and school	13) How teachers help me with my work
5) Other pupils	10) Me and my teachers	14) Behaviour in school

This section describes how the students responded to a selection of the items.

#### The school environment

The majority of students were positive about their school environment. Two thirds of students agreed or strongly agreed that the school had attractive buildings, the classrooms were nicely decorated and clean and thought people thought the school was a 'good school'. The condition of the toilets was more contentious with less than half of students believing they were 'well cared for and clean' (45% agree/strongly agree).

Table 2.1: Students' views of the School environment

	Strongly agree		Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
My school has attractive buildings	150	8.6	905	51.9	584	33.5	104	6.0
Classrooms are nicely decorated & clean	130	7.5	1026	59.1	518	29.8	62	3.6
Toilets are well cared for and clean	101	5.8	674	38.9	622	35.9	335	19.3
My school is well organised	296	17.0	1195	68.5	230	13.2	23	1.3
People think my school is a good school	384	22.1	990	57.0	299	17.2	63	3.6

#### Learning resources

In terms of school's learning resources the students were most positive about the library and science lab facilities, where approximately nine out of ten students agreed with these statements. Slightly less (80% agree/strongly agree) thought they had enough of computers and were happy with the sports facilities. Over a third of students felt that they did not get enough time on computer, and a quarter felt they did not have enough textbooks.

Table 2.2: Students' views of Learning resources

	Strongly agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
There are enough computers	438	25.1	960	55.1	293	16.8	52	3.0
Science labs are good	415	23.9	1147	66.0	154	8.9	22	1.3
We have a good library	462	26.6	1101	63.3	144	8.3	32	1.8
We get enough time using computers in subject lessons	224	12.9	917	52.8	525	30.2	70	4.0
We do not have enough textbooks	51	2.9	374	21.5	1022	58.7	293	16.8
Sports facilities are poor	61	3.5	228	13.1	912	52.5	537	30.9

#### Poor behaviour climate

Reports on behaviour in school were less positive. Nearly three quarters of students (72% agree/strongly agree) reported that other people's bad behaviour often made it difficult to learn. The area that was least positive in terms of reports on bad behaviour around the school. Approximately half of students (49% agree/strongly agree) thought that students took no notice of school rules and there often being fights. One in ten students reported the presence of weapons in school. Over a third of students felt that most pupils wanted to leave the school as soon as possible (39% agree/strongly agree) and felt that students were given a hard time if they worked hard (36% agree/strongly agree).

Table 2.3 Students' views of Behaviour climate

	Strongly agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can	142	8.2	540	31.3	840	48.8	201	11.7
Pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others	120	6.9	506	29.3	926	53.6	177	10.2
Most pupils take no notice of school rules	119	7.0	719	42.3	753	44.3	107	6.3
There are often fights (in or around school)	135	8.0	693	40.9	718	42.4	149	8.8
Some kids bring knives or weapons into school	16	0.9	171	10.1	765	45.2	741	43.8
Other people's bad behaviour often makes it difficult to learn	308	18.6	896	53.6	424	25.4	44	2.6
I mess about in lessons	25	1.5	350	20.8	1024	60.8	286	17.0
I never bully other pupils	818	47.8	765	44.7	78	4.6	50	2.9

#### Headteacher qualities

The headteacher was generally visible around school by most students (76% agree/strongly agree). Nine out of ten students thought the headteacher makes sure pupils behave well and slightly more thought they were interested in how much they learn (84% agree/strongly agree).

Table 2.4: Students' views of Headteacher qualities

	Strongly agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly	
							disa	gree
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
I often see the headteacher around the school	420	24.0	906	51.9	342	19.6	79	4.5
The headteacher makes sure pupils behave well	526	30.2	1040	59.7	145	8.3	31	1.8
The headteacher is interested in how much we	490	28.2	960	55.3	244	14.1	42	2.4
learn								

# Valuing students

Students were generally positive about the role of the headteacher, especially in terms of their role in behaviour standards, with 90% of students agreeing that 'headteachers make sure pupils behave well'.

Table 2.5: Students' views on the valuing of students

		ngly ree	Agree		Disagree		Stro disa	•
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
The school values pupils' views	301	17.4	991	57.3	362	20.9	76	4.4
Teachers consult the pupils about school rules	351	20.2	1164	66.9	199	11.4	27	1.6
Teachers listen to what pupils say about the	213	12.3	994	57.2	454	26.1	76	4.4
school								
The teachers in this school show respect for all	234	13.8	976	57.5	409	24.1	77	4.5
pupils								
Teachers are unpleasant if I make mistakes	32	1.9	245	14.4	1126	66.4	293	17.3
Teachers are friendly towards me	301	17.8	1244	73.7	122	7.2	21	1.2

Consultation with students by teachers appears to be commonplace with nearly nine out of ten students agreeing that this takes place, although fewer students believed teachers listen to what they say about the school (70% agree/strongly agree), valued their views (74% agree/strongly agree) or showed them respect (71% agree/strongly agree). Most students (92% agree/strongly agree) felt teachers were friendly towards them.

#### Emphasis on learning

In line with findings from the students views of themselves on the importance of qualifications (Sammons et al 2011), nearly all students believed that 'most pupils want to do well in exams' (96% agree/strongly agree).

Students believed that teacher expectations were high, with nearly all students believing 'teachers always expect me to do my best' (96% agree or strongly agree with this statement). In terms of academic ethos, over half of students (55% agree/strongly agree) felt that the school put too much emphasis on GCSE results.

Table 2.6: Students' views on the school's Emphasis on learning

	Stro	ngly	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Strongly	
	agı	ree					disa	gree
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Most pupils want to do well in exams	529	30.3	1153	66.0	59	3.4	5	0.3
Teachers always expect me to do my best	570	32.7	1109	63.7	58	3.3	5	0.3
Lessons are usually 'challenging' but 'do-able'	186	10.7	1255	72.1	280	16.1	19	1.1
Most teachers want me to understand	428	24.6	1188	68.2	117	6.7	9	0.5
something, not just memorise it								
Most teachers believe that mistakes are OK so	366	21.1	1213	69.8	139	8.0	19	1.1
long as we learn								
I always work hard in class	336	19.4	1200	69.2	193	11.1	5	0.3
My work is generally too hard for me	20	1.2	165	9.6	1334	77.7	198	11.5
During most lessons I know what I am	311	18.3	1245	73.1	134	7.9	14	8.0
supposed to learn								
The school puts too much emphasis on GCSE	210	12.2	738	43.0	720	42.0	48	2.8
results								

#### Parental involvement

Parental involvement appears to be commonplace. The majority of students report that their parents check that they have done their homework (74% agree/strongly agree), and have a good idea how they are getting on (94% agree/strongly agree). It was less common for teachers to check that parents had seen the homework, with nearly a third of students reporting that this did not occur.

Table 2.7: Students' views related to parents involvement

	Strongly agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
My parents check that I have done my homework	413	23.9	1046	60.5	232	13.4	39	2.3
Teachers check that my parents have seen my homework	389	22.5	775	44.7	463	26.7	105	6.1
I think my parents have a good idea about how I am getting on	527	30.4	1112	64.1	88	5.1	9	0.5

# Teacher support

Aspects of teacher support related to help, positive feedback on work and availability of the teacher to talk to privately were reported on very favourably. For example, 95% of students felt that teachers would help them if they asked and nine out of ten students thought teachers told them how to make their work better. Students were less positive about rewarded for good behaviour and teachers returning homework promptly.

Just under a third of students reported teachers giving them differentiated work rather than the same as everyone else (31% agree/strongly agree).

Table 2.8: Students' views on the level of Teacher support

	Stro	ngly	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Stro	ngly
	ag	ree					disa	gree
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Most teachers mark and return my homework promptly	175	10.2	1050	61.4	419	24.5	65	3.8
Most teachers make helpful comments on my work	255	14.9	1188	69.6	233	13.7	30	1.8
Teachers praise me when I work hard	295	17.3	1125	65.9	256	15.0	32	1.9
Teachers tell me how to make my work better	306	17.9	1254	73.2	139	8.1	14	0.8
Teachers make me feel confident about my work	222	13.1	1080	63.6	368	21.7	27	1.6
I get rewarded for good behaviour	224	13.1	982	57.5	430	25.2	72	4.2
Teachers are available to talk to me privately	283	16.6	1141	66.8	260	15.2	24	1.4
Teachers will help me if I ask for help	412	24.1	1217	71.1	73	4.3	9	0.5
Teachers sometimes give me my own work to do, not just everyone doing the same	43	2.5	431	25.1	992	57.8	250	14.6
Teachers make the aims of lessons clear	319	18.7	1207	70.6	176	10.3	7	0.4

# Teacher discipline

In many aspects of teacher discipline and care teachers are reported to have high standards. Nine out of ten students feel teachers are clear about how they behave, take action when rules are broken and are bothered if they turn up late.

Although the majority of students (two thirds) feel the teacher makes sure the class is quiet during lessons this leaves a third who feel this is not the case. Only 9% of students feel their teacher does not care whether they work or not.

Table 2.9: Students' views on the level of Teacher discipline and care

		ngly	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Stro	
	agı	ree					disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Teachers make sure that it is quiet during	95	5.6	1019	60.5	533	31.7	37	2.2
lessons								
Teachers take action when rules are broken	317	18.6	1226	72.0	141	8.3	19	1.1
Teachers are not bothered if pupils turn up	23	1.3	164	9.6	1125	66.0	392	23.0
late								
Teachers make clear how I should behave	269	15.8	1292	76.0	126	7.4	13	8.0
Teachers are easily satisfied	59	3.5	534	31.5	980	57.8	122	7.2
Teachers don't seem to care whether I work or	27	1.6	125	7.3	945	55.2	615	35.9
not								

A minority of students did not feel safe in the playground (16%) and or on the journey to and from school (7%).

Table 2.10: Students' views on safety in and out of school

	Strongly agree		Ag	Agree		Disagree		Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
I always feel safe in the playground	353	20.8	1065	62.8	226	13.3	51	3.0	
I feel safe on the way to/from school	557	32.7	1032	60.6	96	5.6	19	1.1	

In terms of disruptions to the usual routine only one in ten students reported teachers did not arrive on time for lessons (11% agree/strongly agree). Nearly one in five reported frequent disruptions to the timetable and over half of students reported having 'a lot of supply teachers'.

Table 2.11: Students' views on disruptions to the school routine

·	Strongly agree		Ag	ree Disa		gree	Strongly disagree	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Most teachers arrive on time for lessons	409	23.5	1145	65.7	177	10.2	11	0.6
We have lots of unexpected changes to our timetable	47	2.7	289	16.6	1065	61.3	336	19.3
We have a lot of supply teachers	153	8.8	776	44.6	725	41.7	85	4.9

#### Differences between student groups in reported experiences of school

Gender, family poverty (measured by Free School Meals entitlement), parental qualification level, and the early HLE experiences were significant predictors of students' views of school.

#### Gender

Gender differences in students' views of school were found for a small number of items. Boys were significantly more positive than girls on only a few items and differences were small. These items were related to the sports facilities, returning homework, and taking notice of school rules, and fights in and around school.

Substantial differences between girls and boys were found for reporting teachers being unpleasant if they make mistakes, and bullying. Boys were also more likely to report messing around in class and that 'pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others'. Smaller gender differences were discovered for further details on items see Appendix 1.

Table 2.12: Key differences in views of school by gender

	% Agre	eement
Boys more positive than girls	Girls	Boys
Most teachers mark and return my homework promptly	68	75
Most pupils take no notice of school rules	52	46
The sports facilities are poor	17*	16
There are often fights (in or around school)	50**	47
Girls more positive than boys		
Teachers are unpleasant if I make mistakes	12	21
I never bully others	54	41
I mess about in lessons	20	25
Pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others	34	39

<sup>\*</sup> Boys were much more likely to disagree strongly (35%) than girls (28%)

#### Family poverty

Students who were eligible for free school meals (FSM) were somewhat less favourable than other students in their views of secondary school for just under half of the items, although, many of these differences were relatively small (see Appendix 1). Larger differences were found for the following domains: 'behaviour climate', 'teacher support', academic emphasis<sup>13</sup> and 'learning resources', where students entitled to FSM had more negative views than other students.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Girls were more likely to strongly agree (10%) than boys (6%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> **Academic emphasis** in this analysis does not constitute a separate factor but a number of items were grouped under this heading for reporting purposes. Additional items, which did not load onto a specific factor, were also grouped under existing headings e.g. 'there are not enough text books'.

Table 2.13: Key differences in views of school by family poverty

	% Agre	ement
	Eligible for FSM	Not eligible for FSM
Poor behaviour climate		
There are often fights (in or around school)	69	47
Most pupils wanting to leave school as soon as they can	58	37
Pupils take no notice of school rules	64	48
Some kids bring knives or weapons into school	24	9
Pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others	44	36
Teacher support		
Teachers are available to talk to me privately	63	85
My teachers are easily satisfied	42	34
Academic emphasis		
My work is generally too hard for me	21	9
Learning resources		
There are enough computers	73	81
There are enough textbooks	34	23

The biggest differences were reported for items related to fights in and around school, teachers being available to speak to them privately and students wanting to leave school as soon as they could. For example, two thirds of students with higher levels of family poverty (eligible for FSM) reported fights in or around school (69% agreement) compared to just under half of non-FSM students (47% agreement).

These results point to some of the challenges facing students in disadvantaged communities and differences in the quality of their school experiences. These findings on students' views of the 'behaviour climate' in and around their school are disturbing, but are relevant given current concerns about civil unrest largely involving young people in disadvantaged neighbourhoods.

#### Parental qualifications

Parent's highest qualification levels<sup>14</sup> were found to be related to more positive student views of school. Items where the differences were particularly marked are shown in Table 3.15 and related primarily to the factors as students with higher levels of family poverty, i.e. 'poor behaviour climate', 'teacher support', academic emphasis and 'learning resources'.

The items where the biggest differences were reported were 'most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can', 'there are often fights (in or around school)', and 'most students take no notice of school rules'. For example, over half of students whose parents had no qualifications thought students wanted to leave school as soon as they could (55% agreement) compared to a fifth students with parents who had the highest level of qualifications (20% agreement). Similarly, nearly two thirds of students whose parents had no qualifications reported fights often occurred in or around their school (63% agreement) compared to a third of students with parents who had higher level qualifications (33% agreement). Smaller differences were found for other items (see Appendix 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The highest parental qualification was taken and qualifications were combined to form three groups: No qualifications, School/vocational qualifications (16 Academic, 18 Academic and Vocational) and higher qualifications (degree, higher degree, other professional).

Table 2.14: Differences in views of school by family qualification level (higher/positive)

		% Agreement	
	No qualifications*	School level / vocational qualifications	Higher qualifications***
Poor behaviour climate			
Most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can	55	46	20
There are often fights (in or around school)	63	54	33
Most pupils take no notice of school rules	62	52	37
Some kids bring knives or weapons into school	21	11	6
Pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others	47	39	25
Teacher support			
Teachers are easily satisfied	49	34	30
Teachers are unpleasant if I make mistakes	25	16	12
Academic emphasis			
The work is generally too hard for me	17	11	6
Learning resources			
We have a lot of supply teachers	58	57	43
There are not enough textbooks	30	27	18
There often changes to the timetable	26	21	14
The sports facilities are poor	24	17	13
School environment			
This school is a good school	72	77	86

<sup>\*</sup> No Qualifications \*\* School/vocational qualifications \*\*\* Degree, higher degree, professional

There were a small number of items where students whose parents had lower or no qualifications were more positive about their school than the other students. The most marked differences in views are shown in Table 2.15**Error! Bookmark not defined.** and relate to the level of student consultation and rewarding good behaviour (see Appendix 1 for details of smaller differences).

Table 2.15: Differences in views of school by family qualification level (lower/positive)

	No qualifications	School level / vocational qualifications **	Higher qualifications**
Teachers consult pupils about school rules	94	88	82
Teachers rewarded good behaviour	79	71	68

<sup>\*</sup> No Qualifications \*\* School/vocational qualifications \*\*\* Degree, higher degree, professional

# Early years Home Learning Environment (HLE)

During the pre-school phase of the study the early years HLE was investigated. An HLE index was compiled to give some indication of the extent of learning opportunities available in different households. The HLE groups were as follows:

Lowest HLE				Highest HLE
Score of 0 – 13	14 – 19	20 – 24	25 - 32	Score of 33 - 45

Students who had experienced a more enriched early years HLE reported more positive views of school in Year 9 for many questionnaire items. The most marked differences are shown in Table 2.16. Students who had experienced a more enriched early years HLE were much less likely to report poor behaviour climate and poorer academic emphasis.

Table 2.16: Differences in students' views of school by HLE groups: negative aspects of school

		9	6 Agreeme	ent	
	Lowest				Highest
	HLE	14 – 19	20 – 24	25 – 32	HLE
	0 – 13				33 – 45
Poor behaviour climate					
Most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can	56	48	43	35	27
There are often fights (in or around school)	68	57	48	43	39
Most pupils take no notice of school rules	64	55	50	44	41
Pupils who work hard are given a hard time by others	46	42	39	32	27
Academic emphasis					
The work is generally too hard for me	22	12	10	9	5
Teacher support					
Teachers are unpleasant if pupils make mistakes	24	18	18	15	8

Table shows that students from low HLE groups reported higher levels of agreement with more negative aspects of their school experience. Moreover, there are also differences in relation to positive aspects of schooling where again the low HLE group have less positive views of school (see Table 2.17 below). Students who had experienced a more enriched early years HLE were more likely think their school had a good reputation, and were less likely to report that they bullied others.

Table 2.17: Differences in students' views of school by HLE groups: positive aspects of school

	% Agreement								
	Lowest HLE 0 – 13	14 – 19	20 – 24	25 – 32	Highest HLE 33 - 45				
People think my school is a good school	72	74	78	81	84				
		% S	Strong agree	ement					
I never bully other pupils	39	45	43	51	57				

Some of these differences may reflect different educational opportunities or choices made by families of high HLE students. Elsewhere our analyses showed the net positive impact of HLE on later outcomes after control for other family factors such as parental qualifications, family income and SES. Therefore, a more enriched early years HLE may have direct benefits for some outcomes but may also pick up differences in the value placed on education and support for schooling.

There were small differences for a number of other items, see Appendix 1.

#### Summary of students' views of school in Year 9

Most EPPSE students in Year 9 were satisfied about their experience of secondary school. In particular, students were very positive about the following areas:

- teachers always expect me to do my best (97% agreement);
- most pupils want to do well in exams (96% agreement);
- teachers will help me if I ask for help (95% agreement);
- most teachers want me to understand something, not just memorise it (93% agreement);
- teachers make clear how I should behave (92% agreement);
- teachers don't seem to care whether I work or not (91% disagreement);
- teachers tell me how to make my work better (91% agreement);
- teachers take action when rules are broken (91% agreement);
- the headteacher makes sure pupils behave well (90% agreement).

Areas where students were less positive were the amount of time allowed to work on computers, the condition of the toilets and the level of perceived respect for students. A large minority reported high levels of fights in school, noise in class and sizeable proportion of students reported the behaviour of others in class made it difficult to learn. Although students generally wanted to do well in exams, a large minority felt that the school placed too much emphasis on GCSE exam results.

Areas that came up as having the least positive response were:

- other people's bad behaviour often makes it difficult to learn (72% agreement);
- toilets are well cared for & clean (55% thought they were not well cared for and clean);
- most pupils take no notice of school rules (49% agreement);
- there are often fights (in or around school) (49% reported fights occurring often);
- most pupils want to leave this school as soon as they can (39% agreement);
- pupils who worked hard are given a hard time by others (36% agreement).

The general condition of the classrooms and attractiveness of the buildings was also thought of as less favourable by students, with approximately a third of students giving negative responses to these areas.

Differences between student groups were common for student of differing socio-economic status (SES) and parental qualifications and gender differences were found for a smaller number of items.

# Section 3: Students' experiences of school and data analysis

# The factor structure for views of school

Box one below shows the eight factor structure that was created using exploratory and confirmatory factor analyses. The Confirmatory Factor Analysis model fit is shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Views of school for the main EPPSE student dataset

Description	Chi-	df	Chi/	AIC	RMR	TLI	RMSEA	GFI	AGFI	CFI	PCFI	Pupil
	square		df									n
Statistic	2398.3	674	3.558	2612.3	0.017	0.90	0.042	0.92	0.90	0.91	0.82	1465

<sup>\*</sup> Listwise deletion

Box 3.1: The specific item	ns associated with each stud	lents' views of school in	ı Year 9 (age 13)
	Views of school fa	ctors in Year 9	
Teacher support  Most teachers mark & return my homework promptly  Most teachers make helpful comments on my work	School environment My school has attractive buildings Classrooms are nicely decorated & clean	Valuing students The school values students' views Teachers listen to what students say about the	Headteacher qualities I often see the headteacher around the school The headteacher makes sure students behave well
Teachers praise me when I work hard Teachers tell me how to make my work better Teachers make me feel confident about my work Teachers are available to talk to me privately Teachers will help me if I ask for help I get rewarded for good behaviour	Toilets are well cared for & clean My school is well organised People think my school is a good school	school The teachers in this school show respect for all students Teachers are unpleasant if I make mistakes Teachers are friendly towards me	The headteacher is interested in how much we learn
Cronbach=0.86	Cronbach=0.75	Cronbach=0.78	Cronbach=0.72
Poor Behaviour climate  Most students want to leave this school as soon as they can  Students who work hard are given a hard time by others Most students take no notice of school rules  There are often fights (in or around school)  Some kids bring knives or weapons into school	Emphasis on learning Most students want to do well in exams Teachers expect me to do my best The lessons are usually 'challenging' but 'do-able' Most teachers want me to understand something, not just memorise it Most teachers believe that mistakes are OK so long as we learn	Teacher discipline Teachers make sure that it is quiet during lessons Teachers make clear how I should behave Teachers take action when rules are broken Teachers are not bothered if students turn up late	Learning resources There are enough computers Science labs are good We have a good library We get enough time using computers in subject lessons
Cronbach=0.72	Cronbach=0.68	Cronbach=0.62	Cronbach=0.70

In terms of average factor scores, students were most positive about 'emphasis on learning' and 'headteacher qualities' and least positive for 'school environment' and 'valuing students' (see Table 3.2).

Table 3.2: Descriptive statistics for the experiences of school factors at the end of Year 9

	Mean	Standard	Range	Pupil n
		deviation		
Teacher support	2.97	0.43	1-4	1721
School environment	2.79	0.50	1-4	1750
Valuing students	2.89	0.50	1-4	1751
Headteacher qualities	3.10	0.59	1-4	1747
Poor behaviour climate	2.30	0.52	1-4	1750
Emphasis on learning	3.17	0.38	1.2-4	1748
Teacher discipline	3.01	0.39	1-4	1717
Learning resources	3.03	0.45	1-4	1750

Some of the factors are strongly related, as can be seen from correlations that are displayed in Table 3.3. The highest correlation was found for 'teacher support' and 'valuing students' (0.69), and lowest for 'headteacher qualities' and 'poor behaviour climate' (-0.19).

Table 3.3: Correlation between the experiences of school factors

	School	Valuing	Headteac	Poor	Emphasis	Teacher	Learning
	environm	students	her	behaviour	on	discipline	resources
	ent		qualities	climate	learning		
Teacher support	0.51**	0.69**	0.40**	-0.34**	0.58**	0.53**	0.46**
School environment		0.57**	0.36**	-0.50**	0.38**	0.41**	0.54**
Valuing students			0.41**	-0.43**	0.51**	0.49**	0.48**
Headteacher qualities				-0.19**	0.38**	0.35**	0.31**
Poor behaviour climate					-0.28**	-0.28**	-0.37**
Emphasis on learning						0.44**	0.41**
Teacher discipline							0.34**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.01

The correlations between views of school and dispositions are shown in Table 3.4. The strongest relationships between the views of school and dispositions are found for 'enjoyment of school', particularly for the factors 'teacher support', 'emphasis on learning' and 'valuing students'.

Table 3.4: Correlations between experiences of school and dispositions in Year 9

	Maths	English	Anxiety	Citizenship	Popularity	Enjoyment
	Academic	Academic		values		of school
	Self	Self				
	concept	concept				
Teacher support	0.20**	0.21**	-0.13**	0.29**	0.17**	0.53**
School environment	0.13**	0.12**	-0.18**	0.17**	0.11**	0.45**
Valuing students	0.17**	0.14**	-0.17**	0.30**	0.08**	0.52**
Headteacher qualities	0.08**	0.14**	-0.07**	0.23**	0.11**	0.33**
Poor behaviour climate	-0.15**	-0.07**	0.29**	-0.10**	-0.09**	-0.43**
Emphasis on learning	0.19**	0.22**	-0.11**	0.30**	0.18**	0.50**
Teacher discipline	0.08**	0.10**	-0.10**	0.27**	0.07**	0.37**
Learning resources	0.12**	0.19**	-0.15**	0.19**	0.05	0.39**

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05 \*\* Statistically significant at p<0.01 all other correlations not significant

The following background measures have been used in the multilevel models as potential predictors of different aspects of views of school;

- Individual student factors (e.g. gender, birth weight, mother tongue and ethnicity).
- Family factors (e.g. socio-economic status [SES], parents' qualification level, family earned income, marital status).
- Early years Home Learning Environment (HLE) index during the pre-school years and later home learning measures from primary school.

Contextualised multilevel analyses are used to investigate whether the patterns of association between outcomes and these individual, family and HLE factors remain statistically significant when students reach the end of Year 9 of secondary school. These analyses are used to identify and quantify the unique (net) contribution of particular characteristics to variation in student views of school outcomes, while other influences are controlled. The nature and strength of such background influences have been explored individually and in total, because they are relevant to issues of equity and social inclusion.

# Multilevel model estimates for Year 9 views of school outcomes

Substantial secondary school level variance was found for some factors related to 'views of school' in Year 9 both before and after background characteristics were accounted for. Particularly high 'raw' variation between schools (not accounting for background characteristics<sup>15</sup>) was found for factors that measured students' views of 'head teacher qualities' (14.5%), 'poor behaviour climate' (27.5%) and 'school environment' (27.6%). See Table 3.5.

Analyses also pointed to significant variation in 'learning resources' and 'teacher support'. These results indicate that there are marked differences across the secondary schools attended by EPPSE students in their experiences of these features of their KS3 educational experiences.

	Teacher	School	Valuing	Head-	Poor	Emphasis	Teacher	Learning
	support	environ-	students	teacher	behaviour	on	discipline	resources
		ment		qualities	climate	learning		
Student level								
variance (se)	0.934	0.741	0.934	0.767	0.738	0.906	0.920	0.871
School level								
variance (se)	0.047	0.282	0.047	0.130	0.280	0.058	0.044	0.105
Intra-school								
correlation	0.048	0.276	0.048	0.145	0.275	0.060	0.046	0.107
No of students	1721	1750	1751	1747	1750	1748	1717	1750
No of schools	514	514	522	521	522	521	521	521

The least variation between schools was found for 'teacher discipline' (4.6%), 'valuing students' (4.8%) and 'teacher support' (4.8%). moderate variation was found for 'emphasis on learning' (6.0%) and 'learning resources' (10.7%). See table 3.5 for details.

Analysis of peer data, where the number of students per school was much higher (making school-level variation more reliable), found significant school level variation for all outcomes. In line with the EPPSE student data very high variation was found for 'school environment', 'headteacher qualities' and 'poor behaviour climate' (see appendix 3 for details).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Termed the 'null' model.

After background characteristics were accounted for in the multilevel analyses (contextualised models) substantial school level variation still existed for students' views of 'head teacher qualities' (13.7%), 'poor behaviour climate' (15.7%) and 'school environment' (23.8%). In line with the null models, moderate variation was found for 'teacher support' (4.5%), 'emphasis on learning' (5.7%) and 'learning resources' (7.4%). See table 3.6 for details.

Table 3.6: Model details for the contextualised models of factors measuring students' views of

secondary school

Scoondary School	Teacher	School	Valuing	Head-	Poor	Emphasis on	Teacher	Learning
	support	environ-	students	teacher	behaviour	learning	discipline	resources
		ment		qualities	climate			
Student level								
variance (se)	0.928	0.745	0.915	0.762	0.707	0.895	0.913	0.873
School level								
variance (se)	0.044	0.232	0.037	0.121	0.132	0.054	0.030	0.070
Intra-school								
correlation	0.045	0.238	0.039	0.137	0.157	0.057	0.032ns	0.074
Reduction in total								
variance	5.6%	14.0%	18.8%	5.9%	42.8%	4.8%	29.7%	30.9%
Reduction in								
student variance	0.7%	1.0%incr	2.1%	5.4%	4.1%	1.3%	7.8%	0.2%incr
Reduction in school								
variance	6.6%	18.5%	16.2%	7.2%	52.8%	6.2%	31.4%	33.2%
No of students	1721	1750	1751	1747	1750	1748	1717	1750
No of schools	514	514	522	521	522	521	521	521

Incr Increase in variance

# Section 4: Links between individual, family and Home Learning characteristics and students' experiences of school in Year 9

# Estimating the impact of student background

A number of student, family and home learning variables were found to be predictive of students' views of school when tested in combination, and can be seen in Tables 4.1-4.8 below. Contextualised multilevel analyses were carried out, taking the views of school factors as outcomes, to investigate the combined impact of student background on views of school. It is likely that different indicators of student background are inter-related, e.g. family poverty and parental qualifications. Multilevel analysis enables the influence of the different variables to analysed together, so for example, the influence of gender can be estimated after taking account of other student background characteristics. The full models are shown in Appendix 2.

Background did not predict views of school to the same extent as is found for other outcomes, especially academic and social-behavioural outcomes. The largest number of background effects were found for views of 'poor behaviour climate' and 'teacher discipline'. Student and family characteristics accounted for the most school variation for 'poor behaviour climate', 'teacher discipline' and 'learning resources', although still by only a relatively amount. This suggests that, although views of school are predicted to a small extent by student characteristics, the influence is small.

#### Individual, family and Home Learning influences on 'teacher support'

Student background did not predict 'teacher support' to any great extent. However, having multiple health problems in the early years moderately predicted a poorer view of the level of 'teacher support' (ES=-0.20), as did having a mother who was working full time and studying whilst they were in KS1 (ES=-0.49). Higher levels of parent-child interaction in KS1 was associated with higher levels of 'teacher support' (High ES=0.34, Medium=0.16). This could be a reflection of the student's ability to interact with adults.

Table 4.1: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis Teacher Support

		Teacher support
Variable	Effect size	Description
Health problems in early years	-0.20	Students with 2 or more health problems (early years) had less positive
		views of Teacher support compared to those who had none
Mother's employment in KS1	-0.49	Students with mothers working full time and studying have less positive
•		views of <i>Teacher support</i> than mothers that are unemployed
Parent-Child interaction in KS1	0.34	Students with high &
	0.16	medium levels of P-C interaction have more positive views of <i>Teacher</i>
		support (compared to low)
Reduction in student level varian	ce	0.7%
Reduction in school level variance	e	5.6%

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on 'school environment'

A number of different pupil groups were found to have a poorer view of the 'school environment'. The students with the least positive views were students of Black Caribbean heritage (ES=-0.44), students with a Special Educational Need (School Action Plus, ES=-0.45), and students from single parent households who had moderately poorer view of the 'school environment' (ES=-0.27).

Moderately more positive views of the 'school environment' were associated with students who experience high levels of parent-child interaction and outings in their early primary school years (ES=0.26;0.34). These differences, related to mainly physical aspects of the school environment maybe in part be due to real differences in 'school environment' experienced by students with differing levels of disadvantage.

Table 4.2: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 School environment

		School environment
Variable	Effect size	Description
Ethnicity	-0.44	Students of Black Caribbean heritage have less positive views of the School environment than White UK students
Special Educational Needs	-0.45	Students on the School Action Plus stage of the SEN register have less positive views of the <i>School environment</i> than students not on the SEN register
Family salary in KS2	-0.19	Students with a family salary of £37,500-£66,000 have less positive views of the <i>School environment</i> than students with no family salary
Marital status in KS2	-0.27	Students from a single parent household have less positive views of the School environment than students from married households
Parent-Child interaction in KS1	0.26	Students with high levels of P-C interaction have more positive views of <i>School environment</i> (compared to low)
Outings in KS1	0.34	Students with high levels of outings have more positive views of <i>School environment</i> (compared to low)
Reduction in student level variance		1.0% increase in variance
Reduction in school level variance	e	14.0%

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on Valuing students

Students of Black Caribbean were much less likely to feel their school 'valued students' (compared to White UK; ES=-0.62) as did mixed heritage students, but to a much lesser extent (ES=-0.24). In contrast, Pakistani heritage were moderately more likely to feel their school 'valued students' (ES=0.38). Lower SES was also associated with less positive views of the school on this aspect.

Table 4.3: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 Valuing students

Valuing students				
Variable	Effect size	Description		
Ethnicity	-0.62	Students of Black Caribbean heritage and		
	-0.24	Mixed Race heritage have less positive views of the Valuing students		
		than White UK heritage students		
	0.38	Students of Pakistani heritage have more positive views of the Valuing		
		students than White UK heritage students		
Highest family SES in KS2	-0.26	Students of families whose highest SES was Skilled Non-manual		
	-0.27	Semi-skilled and		
	-0.37	Unemployed have less positive views of Valuing students than students		
		where highest SES was Professional non-manual		
Family salary in KS2	-0.20	Students with a family salary of £37,500-£66,000 have less positive		
		views of the Valuing students than students with no family salary		
Marital status in KS2	-0.25	Students from 'living with partner' households have less positive views		
		of the Valuing students than students from married households		
Reduction in student level varian	ce	2.1%		
Reduction in school level variance	e	18.8%		

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on Headteacher qualities

Very few background factors were found to relate to students views of their headteacher. Students who had English was an additional language in the early years were found to be moderately more positive about their headteacher (ES=0.32). Interestingly, students who had mothers who were working full time and 'other' employment (in KS1) had less favourable views of their headteacher.

Table 4.4: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 Headteacher qualities

Headteacher qualities					
Variable	Effect size	Description			
English as an Additional	0.32	Students with EAL had more positive views of Headteacher qualities			
Language in the early years		than non-EAL students			
Mother's employment in KS1	-0.40	Students with mothers with 'other' employment			
	-0.15	Full time and			
	-0.40	Full time and studying have less positive views of Headteacher qualities			
		than mothers that are unemployed			
Reduction in student level variance		5.4%			
Reduction in school level variance	e	5.9%			

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on Behaviour climate

Of all the views of school more student background differences were found for 'poor behaviour climate' than any of the other outcomes. Students with a Special need (School Action Plus or full statement) were particularly more likely to feel the behaviour in their school was poor (ES=0.74, 0.74), as were students entitled to FSM (ES=0.30). Students with parents educated to a higher level were particularly more likely to have a more positive view of the behaviour climate of their school, as did students with parents with high SES. This is likely to relate to real differences between schools.

Table 4.5: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Yr 9 Poor Behaviour climate

	Poor behaviour climate					
Variable	Effect size	Description				
Special Educational Needs	0.74	Students on the School Action Plus stage of the SEN register and				
	0.74	Statemented have less positive views of the <i>Behaviour climate</i> than students not on the SEN register				
Mother's qualifications	-0.33	Students with mothers who hold a degree or				
	-0.59	Higher degree had more positive view of the <i>Behaviour climate</i> than students with mothers with no qualifications				
Father's qualifications	-0.33	Students with fathers who hold an age 16 academic qualification,				
	-0.45	Degree or				
	-0.46	Higher degree had more positive view of the <i>Behaviour climate</i> than students with fathers with no qualifications				
	-0.22	Students with absent fathers had more positive view of the <i>Behaviour climate</i> than students with fathers with no qualifications				
Mothers employment status in	-0.31	Students with mothers who were self employed in the early years had a				
the early years		more positive view of the <i>Behaviour climate</i> than students with mothers with no qualifications				
Highest family SES in KS2	0.34	Students of families whose highest SES was Semi-skilled have less positive views of behaviour climate than students where highest SES was Professional non-manual				
Marital status in KS2	0.25	Students from 'living with partner' households have less positive views of the behaviour climate than students from married households				
FSM status in Year 9	0.30	Students entitled to FSM were less positive about the behaviour climate				
Reduction in student level variance Reduction in school level variance		4.1% 42.8%				

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on Emphasis on learning

Although girls had a slightly better view of the 'emphasis on learning' in their school than boys, the difference was small (ES=0.10). Other student groups that had more positive views were students of Black African heritage (compared to white UK; ES=0.64), and students for whom English was an Additional language when they entered pre-school (ES=0.34). Students with SEN (school action plus) had poorer views of the 'emphasis on learning' in their school (ES=-0.38).

Table 4.6: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 Emphasis on learning

Emphasis on learning						
Variable	Effect size	Description				
Gender	0.10	Girls had more positive views related to Emphasis on learning that boys				
Ethnicity	0.64	Students of Black African heritage have more positive views of the Emphasis on learning than White UK students				
English as an Additional Language in the early years	0.34	Students with EAL had more positive views of <i>Emphasis on learning</i> than non-EAL students				
Special Educational Needs	-0.38	Students on the School Action Plus stage of the SEN register have less positive views of the <i>Emphasis on learning</i> than students not on the register				
Reduction in student level variance		1.3%				
Reduction in school level variance		4.8%				

Individual, family and Home Learning influences on Teacher discipline

Lower SES was associated with poorer views of 'teacher discipline' in the school. Students who had a more positive view of 'teacher discipline' were students for whom English was an Additional language when they entered pre-school (ES=0.23) as did students who had experience higher levels of parent-child interaction during KS1 (high ES=0.33; medium ES=0.18). 'Medium' levels of computer gaming (compared to low) were related to less favourable views of 'teacher discipline'.

Table 4.7: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 Teacher discipline

Teacher discipline						
Variable	Effect size	Description				
English as an Additional Language in the early years	0.23	Students with EAL had more positive views of <i>Teacher Discipline</i> than non-EAL students				
Mother's employment in KS1	-0.19	Students with mothers working full time have less positive views of Teacher Discipline than mothers that are unemployed				
Father's employment in KS1	0.45	Students with fathers working part time have more positive views on Teacher Discipline than fathers that are unemployed				
Highest family SES in KS2	-0.23 -0.22 -0.30 -0.29	Skilled manual Semi-skilled and				
Parent-Child interaction in KS1	0.33 0.18	-   - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Computer games in KS1	-0.18	Students with medium levels of computer gaming have less positive views of <i>Teacher Discipline</i> (compared to low)				
Reduction in student level variance		7.8%				
Reduction in school level variance		29.7%				

#### Student and family influences on Learning resources

Very few background factors were found to significantly predict views of the 'learning resources'. Students with a SEN (school action plus) had poorer views of the level of their school's 'learning resources' (ES=-0.54). In addition, students with fathers with higher academic qualifications were more likely to feel the school had better 'learning resources' (18 academic ES=0.28; Degree ES=0.33; Higher degree ES=0.58).

Table 4.8: Significant measures for the contextualised analysis of Year 9 Learning resources

Learning resources					
Variable	Effect size	Description			
Special Educational Needs	-0.54	Students who are statemented on the SEN register have less positive views of the <i>Learning resources</i> than students not on the register			
Father's qualifications	0.28 0.33 0.58	Students with fathers who hold a aged 18 academic qualification, Degree or Higher degree had more positive view of the <i>Learning resources</i> than students with fathers with no qualifications			
Reduction in student level variance Reduction in school level variance		0.2% increase in variance 30.9%			

#### Consistent individual background influences

Students with SEN have been found to have less positive dispositions (Sammons et al 2011c) and are also shown here to be less positive about many aspects of the school and classroom ('school environment', 'poor behaviour climate', 'emphasis on learning' and 'learning resources'). Students of Black Caribbean heritage were also found to be less positive about the school environment and how much students are valued.

Students who were classified as having English as an additional Language were found to be more positive than other students for three views of school measures; 'headteacher qualities', 'emphasis on learning' and 'teacher discipline'.

Table 4.9: Significant pupil background measures for the contextualised analysis

	Pupil backo	Pupil background influences					
	Ethnicity	Health	Special Educational	English as an Additional			
		problems	Needs	Language			
Teacher support		Small					
School environment	Moderate		Moderate				
Valuing students	Large						
Headteacher qualities				Small			
Behaviour climate			Large				
Emphasis on learning	Large		Small	Small			
Teacher discipline				Small			
Learning resources			Moderate				

Small Effect size < 0.20-0.39999 Moderate 0.40-0.59999 Large 0.60+

### Consistent family background influences

Many aspects of Socio-Economic Status (SES) predicted students' views of school. Mothers who worked full time (in KS1) were found to be less positive than students with unemployed for 'teacher discipline' and 'headteacher qualities'. Student classified from families with lower SES reported less favourable 'teacher discipline', 'behaviour climate' and 'valuing students'.

Table 4.10: Significant family background measures for the contextualised analysis

	Family backgrou	ınd influences				
	Qualifications*	Employment**	FSM	Salary	Socio- Economic Status	Marital status
Teacher support		Moderate				
School environment						Moderate
Valuing students				Small	Small	Small
Headteacher qualities		Moderate				
Behaviour climate	Moderate	Small	Small		Small	Small
Emphasis on learning						
Teacher discipline		Moderate			Small	
Learning resources						

Small Effect size <0.20-0.39999 Moderate 0.40-0.59999 Large 0.60+

### Consistent Home Learning influences

Students that had higher levels of earlier parent-child interaction had more favourable views of school for 'teacher support', 'school environment' and 'teacher discipline'.

## **Section 5: Summary and conclusions**

Most EPPSE students in Year 9 were satisfied about their experience of secondary school. In particular, students reported very positive responses to question items related to 'teacher support', 'teacher discipline' and the head. However students did highlight that, although teachers took steps to keep order and discipline, student behaviour in classroom and around school was still an issue.

Areas that stood out as less positive for students were fights in school, noise in class and other people's behaviour making it difficult to learn. In addition, although students generally wanted to do well in exams, a large minority still felt that the school placed too much emphasis on GCSE exam results.

The findings here support academic, social-behavioural and dispositions findings reported elsewhere (Sammons et al 2011a, 2011b, 2011c) of important links between features of secondary school experience as reported by students and their academic and behavioural outcomes as well as their dispositions to school. For example, some of the strongest predictors of student dispositions relate to their views and experiences of key features of secondary school and classroom processes. In particular, the 'emphasis on learning', 'teacher support', and lower scores on 'poor behaviour climate' predict more favourable dispositions as well as better academic attainment and social-behavioural outcomes. This suggests that schools should be encouraged to value students' views and take steps to collect information about their perspectives on a regular basis. Such information can provide an important source of evidence for school improvement and development planning given the substantial differences between schools in key areas as reported by students (in particular; 'school environment', 'headteacher qualities' and 'poor behavioural climate', and to a lesser extent; 'learning resources', 'emphasis on learning' and 'teacher support'). There is also evidence of important variation between schools in students' dispositions for 'enjoyment of school'.

Taken together, the findings suggest that secondary schools do differ significantly in various ways that are likely to influence the quality of learning and well-being as perceived by students. Such evidence could provide valuable feedback to schools, especially where they maybe struggling to improve or are rated as inadequate by inspectors.

There were also some significant differences between student groups identified in their answers to specific questions on the questionnaire. The most notable differences between students in their views of school were found for those students who were more disadvantaged in terms of poorer backgrounds (measured by FSM entitlement) and those whose parents had lower levels of qualifications. These students tended to have less favourable views. These differences were most pronounced for items concerning 'behaviour climate', but also for some aspects of 'teacher support', 'learning resources' and academic emphasis. Students who had experienced lower levels of HLE in the early years were also less positive on a smaller number of items, related primarily to 'poor behaviour climate.

It is likely that students eligible for FSM or with parents who have no qualifications were more likely to attend schools in more disadvantaged areas (often those deemed to be in challenging circumstances). These results suggest that such students have less favourable experiences of many features of their secondary school experience, especially the behaviour climate, although they were not less likely to report that they enjoyed school. These differences may exacerbate existing inequalities in achievement and reduce the chances of educational success as students move through secondary school.

Through contextualised multilevel analysis the combined impact of student, family and HLE characteristics was also investigated. As with dispositions, background accounted for much less variation in views of school than academic or social-behavioural outcomes. Background accounted for the greatest amount of variation in measures (which we could interpret as intake differences) for 'behaviour climate', 'teacher discipline' and 'learning resources'.

Students with Special Educational Needs in particular were generally less positive about school and classroom ('school environment', 'poor behaviour climate', 'emphasis on learning' and 'learning resources') as well as for dispositions (Sammons et al 2011c). This maybe a reflection of real differences between schools on these measures or genuinely more negative views of schooling held by this student group.

# Appendix 1: Differences between pupil groups in their views of school

## Smaller differences between student groups

Girls were found to be significantly more positive (but with small differences) on the following items:

Table A1.i: Differences in views of school related to gender

	% Agre	eement	
	Girls Boys		
I always work hard in class	89	88	
Most teachers want me to understand something, not just memorise it	94	91	
Teachers will help me if I ask for help	96	94	

The following were found to be significant, but with smaller differences: Table A1.ii: Differences in views of school related to family poverty

	% Ag	reement
	Entitled to	Not entitled to
	FSM	FSM
Teachers don't seem to care whether I work or not	15	8
Teachers make sure it is quiet in class	60	66
The Sports facilities are poor	25	15
The Science labs are good	82	91
We have lots of unexpected changes to our timetable	28	18
We have a lot of supply teachers	59	53
Pupils think this is a good school	70	80
The teachers are friendly	85	92
Teachers make me feel confident about my work	75	77
I am bored in lessons	49	40
Teachers consult pupils about school rules	92	87
Lessons are usually 'challenging' but 'do-able	75	84
The teacher makes the aims of the lesson clear	87	90
I like most of the lessons	78	85
I always feel safe in the playground	77	84
I feel safe to/from school	87	94
I always work hard in class	89	84
Teachers will help me if I ask for help	90	96

The following were found to be significant, but with smaller differences:

Table A1.iii: Differences in views of school related to family qualifications

	% Agreement					
	Lowest	Middle	Highest			
	Qualifications	qualifications	Qualifications			
Most pupils want to do well in exams	94	96	97			
The buildings are attractive	59	59	65			
The school has a good library	87	90	90			
This school is well organised	85	85	88			
We often see the headteacher around the school	78	77	74			
Teachers arrive on time for school	87	88	92			
I am often bored in class	44	44	35			
Teachers check homework has been seen by parents	77	67	63			
I get rewards for good behaviour	79	70	67			
Teachers don't seem to care whether I work or not	11	10	5			
Most teachers make helpful comments on my work	83	84	86			
Teachers praise me when I work hard	87	83	82			
We have enough computers	78	78	85			
Teachers make sure that it is quiet during lessons	61	65	69			
Teachers are not bothered if pupils turn up late	15	11	9			
I always feel safe in the playground	81	82	87			
Most teachers believe that mistakes are OK so long as we						
learn	88	91	93			
Teachers make clear how I should behave	92	91	94			
The Science labs are good	87	89	92			

The following were found to be significant, but with smaller differences:

Table A3.iv: Differences in views of school related Home Learning Environment

	% Agreement				
	Lowest				Highest
	HLE	14 - 19	20 – 24	25 - 32	HLE
	0 – 13				33 - 45
I always feel safe in the playground	79	80	80	87	88
Teachers are easily satisfied	45	41	32	34	30
We have lots of unexpected changes to our timetable	28	22	17	19	15
Teachers are available to talk to me privately	79	80	83	84	91
Teachers don't seem to care whether pupils work or not	17	11	8	9	4
Pupils want to do well in exams	95	97	96	95	97
Teachers make sure it is quiet in class	76	61	66	68	65
Other people's bad behaviour often makes it difficult to learn	80	78	72	70	65

# Appendix 2: Multilevel analysis results of contextualised experiences of school models

Table A.2.i: Teacher support Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

		Year 9 Teacher support			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig	
Early Health Problems (compared to none)					
1 Health proble	m 0.01	0.06	0.01		
2 + Health problen	ns -0.19	-0.09	-0.20	*	
Missir	·g -0.12	0.19	-0.13		
Mother's employment status in KS1 (compared to					
unemployed) Other	-0.25	0.15	-0.26		
Employed full-tin	e -0.08	0.07	-0.09		
Employed part-tin	e -0.09	0.06	-0.09		
Employed full-time and studyir	·g -0.47	0.16	-0.49	*	
Employed part-time and studyir	g -0.01	0.14	-0.01		
Studying or training full tin	ie -0.13	0.19	-0.14		
Missir	g 0.04	0.09	0.04		
HLE KS1: Parent/child interaction (compared to low)					
Hiç	jh 0.33	0.10	0.34	*	
Mediu	m 0.16	0.08	0.16	*	
Missir	g 0.15	0.10	0.16		
Random Effects					
School variance	o.044	0.019			
Residual variand	o.928	0.035			
Intra-school correlation		0.045			

Table A.2.ii: School environment Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Y	Year 9 School environment		
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Ethnic groups (compared to White UK Heritage)				
White Europear	-0.05	0.13	-0.05	
Black Caribbear	-0.37	0.15	-0.44	*
Black Africar	-0.17	0.20	-0.20	
Other Ethnic Minority	-0.14	0.18	-0.16	
Indiar	0.07	0.17	0.08	
Pakistan	0.15	0.13	0.17	
Bangladesh	0.07	0.29	0.08	
Mixed Heritage	-0.19	0.11	-0.22	
Special educational Needs in Year 9 (compared to none) School Action	-0.06	0.11	-0.07	
School Action Plus	-0.39	0.15	-0.45	*
Statemented	-0.26	0.17	-0.30	
Missing	-0.12	0.06	-0.14	*
Family salary in KS2 (compared to none)				
£2,500-£15,000	-0.08	0.08	-0.09	
£17,500-£27,500	-0.10	0.08	-0.12	
£30,000-£35,000	-0.11	0.09	-0.12	
£37,500-£66,500	-0.17	0.08	-0.19	*
£67,500-	0.12	0.11	0.14	
Missing	-0.05	0.10	-0.05	
Marital status in KS2 (compared to married) Single	-0.23	0.08	-0.27	*
Separated/divorced	-0.01	0.11	0.00	
Living with partne	-0.12	0.08	-0.13	
Widow/widowe	0.14	0.26	0.16	
Othe	0.00	0.99	0.01	
Missing	-0.01	0.07	-0.01	
HLE KS1: Parent/child interaction (compared to low)				
High		0.10	0.26	*
Medium		0.07	0.16	
Missing	0.22	0.11	0.26	
HLE KS1: Outings (compared to low)				-
High		0.11	0.34	*
Medium	0.12	0.09	0.13	
Random Effects				
School variance		0.037		
Residual variance	0.745	0.030		
Intra-school correlation		0.238		

Table A.2.iii: Valuing students Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Year 9 Valuing students			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Ethnic groups (compared to White UK Heritage)				
White European	-0.15	0.13	-0.16	
Black Caribbean	-0.59	0.16	-0.62	*
Black African	-0.29	0.20	-0.31	
Other Ethnic Minority	-0.35	0.19	-0.37	
Indian	-0.13	0.16	-0.13	
Pakistani	0.36	0.12	0.38	*
Bangladeshi	0.27	0.27	0.28	
Mixed Heritage	-0.23	0.11	-0.24	*
Family Socio Economic Status in KS2 (compared to				
the Highest) Other professional non manual	-0.06	0.08	-0.07	
Skilled non manual	-0.25	0.10	-0.26	*
Skilled manual	-0.10	0.11	-0.10	
Semi skilled	-0.26	0.13	-0.27	*
Unskilled	-0.14	0.24	-0.15	
Unemployed: not working	-0.36	0.12	-0.37	*
Missing	-0.23	0.26	-0.25	
Family salary in KS2 (compared to none)				
£2,500-£15,000	-0.05	0.09	-0.06	
£17,500-£27,500	-0.10	0.09	-0.11	
£30,000-£35,000	-0.11	0.10	-0.11	
£37,500-£66,500	-0.19	0.09	-0.20	*
£67,500+	-0.02	0.12	-0.03	
Missing	-0.14	0.09	-0.14	
Marital status in KS2 (compared to married) Single	-0.07	0.09	-0.07	
Separated/divorced	-0.10	0.12	-0.10	
Living with partner	-0.24	0.08	-0.25	*
Widow/widower	0.28	0.28	0.30	
Other	0.25	0.98	0.27	
Missing	0.05	0.25	0.05	
Random Effects	•			
School variance	0.037	0.017		
Residual variance	0.915	0.034		
Intra-school correlation		0.039		

Table A.2.iv: *Headteacher qualities* Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Year 9 Headteacher qualities			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Early years English as a 2 <sup>nd</sup> Language (compared to				
none)	0.28	0.09	0.32	*
Missing	-0.04	0.91	-0.04	
Mother's employment status in KS1 (compared to				
unemployed) Other	-0.35	0.14	-0.40	*
Employed full-time	-0.14	0.07	-0.15	*
Employed part-time	-0.08	0.06	-0.09	
Employed full-time and studying	-0.35	0.15	-0.40	*
Employed part-time and studying	-0.21	0.14	-0.24	
Studying or training full time	-0.04	0.17	-0.05	
Missing	-0.07	0.07	-0.08	
School variance	0.121	0.025		
Residual variance	0.762	0.029		
Intra-school correlation		0.137		

Table A.2.v: *Poor Behaviour climate* Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Year 9 Poor behaviour climate			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Special educational Needs in Year 9 (compared to				
none) School Action	0.16	0.10	0.19	
School Action Plus	0.62	0.14	0.74	*
Statemented	0.62	0.16	0.74	*
Missing	0.17	0.05	0.20	*
Mother's qualifications (compared to none) Vocational	-0.10	0.09	-0.12	
Academic age 16	-0.07	0.07	-0.08	
Academic age 18	-0.18	0.10	-0.22	
Degree	-0.28	0.10	-0.33	*
Higher Degree	-0.50	0.13	-0.59	*
Other professional / Miscellaneous	-0.20	0.18	-0.24	
Missing	-0.28	0.23	-0.33	
Father's qualifications (compared to none) Vocational	-0.17	0.09	-0.20	
Academic age 16	-0.28	0.08	-0.33	*
Academic age 18	-0.20	0.10	-0.24	
Degree	-0.38	0.10	-0.45	*
Higher Degree	-0.39	0.13	-0.46	*
Other professional / Miscellaneous	-0.31	0.22	-0.37	
Father absent	-0.18	0.08	-0.22	*
Missing	-0.64	0.33	-0.76	*
FSM status in Year 9	0.25	0.08	0.30	*
Missing	-0.36	0.12	-0.43	*
Family Socio Economic Status in KS2 (compared to				
the Highest) Other professional non manual	0.03	0.08	0.03	
Skilled non manual	0.17	0.10	0.20	
Skilled manual	0.05	0.11	0.06	
Semi skilled	0.29	0.12	0.34	*
Unskilled	0.16	0.22	0.19	
Unemployed: not working	0.06	0.12	0.07	
Missing	0.11	0.24	0.13	
Marital status in KS2 (compared to married) Single	0.03	0.08	0.03	
Separated/divorced	0.19	0.11	0.22	
Living with partner	0.21	0.07	0.25	*
Widow/widower	0.49	0.25	0.58	
Other	-0.97	0.92	-1.15	
Missing	0.11	0.22	0.13	
Mother's employment in early years Working full-time	-0.02	0.07	-0.03	
Working part-time	-0.01	0.05	-0.01	
Self-employed & combination	-0.26	0.11	-0.31	*
Missing	0.51	0.36	0.60	
Random Effects				
School variance	0.132	0.026		
Residual variance	0.707	0.028		
Intra-school correlation		0.157		

Table A.2.vi: *Emphasis on learning* Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Year 9 Emphasis on learning			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Girls	0.10	0.04	0.10	*
Early year English as a 2 <sup>nd</sup> Language (compared to				
none)	0.32	0.14	0.34	*
Missing	-0.32	1.01	-0.34	
Ethnic groups (compared to White UK Heritage)				
White European	-0.13	0.14	-0.14	
Black Caribbean	0.26	0.15	0.27	
Black African	0.60	0.20	0.64	*
Other Ethnic Minority	-0.10	0.20	-0.10	
Indian	0.16	0.18	0.17	
Pakistani	-0.06	0.17	-0.06	
Bangladeshi	-0.35	0.30	-0.37	
Mixed Heritage	-0.01	0.11	-0.01	
Special educational Needs in Year 9 (compared to				
none) School Action	-0.21	0.11	-0.22	
School Action Plus	-0.36	0.15	-0.38	*
Statemented	-0.19	0.18	-0.20	
Missing	-0.12	0.05	-0.12	*
Random Effects				
School variance	0.054	0.021		
Residual variance	0.895	0.034		
Intra-school correlation		0.057		

Table A.2.vii: *Teacher discipline* Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	•	Year 9 <i>Teach</i> e	er discipline	
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Early years English as a 2 <sup>nd</sup> Language (compared to				
none)	0.22	0.09	0.23	*
Missing	0.01	0.98	0.01	
Mother's employment status in KS2 (compared to				
unemployed) Full time employment	-0.18	0.09	-0.19	*
Part time employment	-0.16	0.09	-0.17	
Full time self employed	-0.17	0.17	-0.18	
Part time self employed	-0.24	0.14	-0.25	
Full time employed and studying	-0.25	0.22	-0.26	
Part time employed and studying	-0.21	0.15	-0.22	
Studying full time	-0.39	0.24	-0.40	
Studying part time	-0.02	0.24	-0.02	
Missing	0.15	0.22	0.16	
Father's employment status in KS2 (compared to				
unemployed) Other	0.00	0.21	0.00	
Full time employment	0.05	0.11	0.05	
Part time employment	0.43	0.19	0.45	*
Full time employed and studying	0.44	0.23	0.46	
Part time employed and studying	0.45	0.45	0.47	
Studying/training full time	0.90	0.41	0.94	
Missing	0.03	0.11	0.03	
Family Socio Economic Status in KS2 (compared to				
the Highest) Other professional non manual	-0.15	0.08	-0.15	
Skilled non manual	-0.22	0.09	-0.23	*
Skilled manual	-0.22	0.11	-0.22	*
Semi skilled	-0.29	0.12	-0.30	*
Unskilled	0.04	0.25	0.05	
Unemployed: not working	-0.28	0.13	-0.29	*
Missing	-0.49	0.24	-0.51	*
HLE KS1: Parent/child interaction (compared to low)				
High	0.32	0.10	0.33	*
Medium	0.17	0.08	0.18	*
Missing	0.12	0.09	0.13	
HLE KS1: Computer games (compared to low)				
High	-0.03	0.09	-0.03	
Medium	-0.17	0.07	-0.18	*
Missing	-0.13	0.10	-0.13	
Random Effects				
School variance	0.030	0.018		
Residual variance	0.913	0.035		
Intra-school correlation		0.0320ns		

Table A.2.viii: *Learning resources* Contextualised Model (impact of individual, family & home environment)

	Year 9 Learning resources			
Fixed Effects	Coef	SE	ES	Sig
Special educational Needs in Year 9 (compared to				
none) School Action	-0.04	0.11	-0.04	
School Action Plus	-0.21	0.15	-0.23	
Statemented	-0.51	0.18	-0.54	*
Missing	-0.13	0.05	-0.14	*
Father's qualifications (compared to none) Vocational	0.17	0.09	0.19	
Academic age 16	0.13	0.08	0.14	
Academic age 18	0.27	0.11	0.28	*
Degree	0.31	0.09	0.33	*
Higher Degree	0.55	0.11	0.58	*
Other professional / Miscellaneous	0.27	0.23	0.29	
Missing	-0.16	0.19	-0.18	
Random Effects				
School variance	0.070	0.034		
Residual variance	0.873	0.048		
Intra-school correlation		0.074		

## Appendix 3: Multilevel analyses of peer data for experiences of school

The *All about Me at school* questionnaires were sent to the peers of EPPSE students from the 125 focal schools. In total, 67 returned the *All about Me at school* questionnaires. Peers of the EPPSE students were somewhat more negative about themselves and their school experiences.

Table A3.i Descriptive statistics for the Views of school scores at the end of Year 9 for peer data

	Mean	Standard deviation	Range	Pupil n
Teacher support	2.80	0.48	1-4	1696
Teacher discipline	2.91	0.44	1-4	1624
Emphasis on learning	3.10	0.43	1-4	1732
Head-teacher qualities	2.94	0.64	1-4	1738
Valuing students	2.69	0.55	1-4	1697
Poor behaviour climate	2.54	0.48	1-4	1619
School environment	2.58	0.50	1-4	1745
Learning resources	2.80	0.49	1-4	1747

Table A3.ii: Correlations between the Views of school scores at the end of Year 9 for peer data

	Teacher	Emphasis	Head-	Valuing	Poor	School	Learning
	discipline	on	teacher	students	behaviour	environ-	resources
		learning	qualities		climate	ment	
Teacher support	0.56**	0.57**	0.45**	0.67**	-0.15**	0.48**	0.41**
Teacher discipline		0.48**	0.41**	0.49**	-0.13**	0.38**	0.33**
Emphasis on learning			0.44**	0.49**	-0.09**	0.38**	0.38**
Head-teacher qualities				0.46**	-0.09**	0.39**	0.35**
Valuing students					-0.26**	0.55**	0.44**
Poor behaviour climate						-0.34**	-0.19**
School environment							0.56**

<sup>\*</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05

Table A3.iii: Null multilevel models for Views of school at the end of Year 9 for peer data

	Teacher	Teacher	Emphasis	Head-	Valuing	Poor	School	Learning
	support	discipline	on	teacher	students	behaviour	environ-	resources
			learning	qualities		climate	ment	
Student level								
variance (se)	0.9029	0.9111	0.9242	0.7560	0.8633	0.8281	0.7783	0.8734
School level								
variance (se)	0.0858	0.0626	0.0575	0.1720	0.1250	0.1780	0.2236	0.1152
Intra-school								
correlation	0.0868	0.0626	0.0585	0.1854	0.1265	0.1769	0.2232	0.1165
No of children	1696	1624	1732	1738	1697	1619	1745	1747
No of schools	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	67

<sup>\*\*</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.01

all other correlations not significant

## Glossary of terms

**Academic self-concept** EPPSE derived two measures of Academic self-concept from Year 9 student questionnaire data:

- 1) 'Academic self-concept for English'
- 2) 'Academic self-concept for maths'

Both of the above measures are based on items taken from existing well established 'academic self-concept' scales (Marsh, 1990a; 1990b; Marsh & Hau, 2003; Marsh & Craven, 2006).

**Age standardised scores** Assessment scores that have been adjusted to take account of the pupil's age at testing. This enables a comparison to be made between the cognitive outcome of an individual pupil, and the relative achievement of a representative sample of pupils in the same age group throughout the country or, in this case, the relative achievement of the EPPE sample.

**Anxiety** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that reflect the degree to which the students feel unhappy, worried, nervous, fearful in new situations, or suffer from minor ailments.

'at risk' The term 'at risk' is a complex one which will differ depending on the particular criteria used. For instance, the definition of possible cognitive 'at risk' status used in the ETYSEN study (see Taggart et al., 2006), based on children's cognitive attainment at entry to pre-school, was a score of one standard deviation (sd) below the mean (in standardised assessments) in relation to national norms (at risk). In the more recent EPPSE case studies, there are various definitions of risk and resilience (see Siraj-Blatchford et al., 2011).

**Anti-social behaviour** A social-behavioural construct identified from teachers' ratings about EPPSE students, collected through a pupil profile based on Goodman's (1997) Strength and Difficulties questionnaire. Five items formed the factor 'anti-social' behaviour e.g. Steals from home, school or elsewhere.

**British Ability Scales (BAS)** This is a battery of assessments specially developed by NFER-Nelson to assess very young pupils' abilities. The assessments used at entry to the EPPE study and at entry to reception were:

Block building - Visual-perceptual matching, especially in spatial orientation (only entry to study) Naming Vocabulary – Expressive language and knowledge of names

Pattern construction – Non-verbal reasoning and spatial visualisation (only entry to reception) Picture Similarities – Non-verbal reasoning

Early number concepts – Knowledge of, and problem solving using pre-numerical and numerical concepts (only entry to reception)

Copying – Visual–perceptual matching and fine-motor co-ordination. Used specifically for pupils without English

Verbal comprehension – Receptive language, understanding of oral instructions involving basic language concepts.

**Birth weight** In the EPPSE research, babies born weighing 2500 grams (5lbs 8oz) or less are defined as below normal birth weight; foetal infant classification is below 1000 grams, very low birth weight is classified as 1001-1005 grams and low birth weight is classified as 1501-2500 grams (Scott and Carran, 1989). When EPPSE uses this measure in analyses, the categories foetal infant (<1000g) and very low birth weight (1001-1005g) are often collapsed into one category due to small numbers in the former group.

**Centre/School level variance** The proportion of variance in a particular child/student outcome measure (i.e. Year 9 English Teacher Assessment level at the end of Key Stage 3 in secondary school) attributable to differences between individual centres/schools rather than differences between individual children/students.

**Citizenship values** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to how important students feel certain behaviours are such as strong people not picking on weak people, respecting rules and laws, controlling your temper, respecting other's views, and sorting out disagreements without fighting.

Comparative Fit Index (CFI) The CFI is an index of a statistical model fit that takes into account sample size. Values close to 0.95 indicate good fit (Hu & Bentler, 1999).

**Compositional effects** The influence of a student's peer group on that particular student's individual outcomes.. For example, the influence of attending a school where a high percentage of students are in receipt of Free School Meals (FSM) or come from disadvantaged backgrounds. This influence is irrespective of the characteristics (FSM status) of the individual student in question. For further details see Harker (2001).

**Confidence intervals (at 95 or 99%)** A range of values which can be expected to include the 'true' value in 95 or 99 out of 100 samples (i.e. if the calculation was repeated using 100 random samples).

**Contextualised models** Cross-sectional multilevel models exploring individuals' outcomes, while controlling for individual, family and home learning environment characteristics (but not prior attainment).

**Controlling for** Several variables may influence an outcome and these variables may themselves be associated. Multilevel statistical analyses can calculate the influence of one variable upon an outcome having allowed for the effects of other variables. When this is done the net effect of a variable upon an outcome controlling for other variables can be established.

**Correlation** A correlation is a measure of statistical association that ranges from + 1 to -1.

**Cronbach's alpha** ( $\alpha$ ) A measurement of the internal reliability (or consistency) of the items on a test or questionnaire that ranges between 0 and 1 showing the extent to which the items are measuring the same thing (Reber, 1995). A value greater than 0.7 ( $\alpha$ <0.7) suggests that the items consistently reflect the construct that is being measured.

CVA (Contextualised Value Added) Measures of secondary school academic effectiveness derived from KS2-KS4 contextual value added (CVA) indicators produced by the Department for Education (DfE). At the pupil level, the CVA score was calculated as the difference between predicted attainment (i.e., the average attainment achieved by similar pupils) and real attainment in KS4. The predicted attainment was obtained by using multilevel modelling controlling for pupils' prior attainment and adjusting for their background characteristics (i.e., gender, age, ethnicity, SEN, FSM, mobility etc.). For each school, all individual pupil scores were averaged and adjusted for the proportion of pupils attending the school in a specific year. This final averaged score represents the school level CVA and it is presented as a number based around 1000 (for more technical details see http://www.education.gov.uk/performancetables/schools 08/documents.shtml).

**Dispositions** An overarching term used to refer to factors such as 'enjoyment of school', 'academic self concept (English and maths)', 'popularity', 'citizenship values' and 'anxiety'. The EPPSE study derived these factors from questionnaires completed by students in Year 9 called 'All about Me' and 'All about Me in school'.

**ECERS-R and ECERS-E** The American Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale (ECERS-R) (Harms et al., 1998) is based on child centred pedagogy and also assesses resources for indoor and outdoor play. The English rating scale (ECERS-E) (Sylva et al., 2003) was intended as a supplement to the ECERS-R and was developed specially for the EPPE study to reflect the Desirable Learning Outcomes (which have since been replaced by the Early Learning Goals, the Curriculum Guidance for the Foundation Stage, and the Early Years Foundation Stage). For more information see Sylva et al., (2010).

**Educational effectiveness** Research design which seeks to explore the effectiveness of educational institutions in promoting a range of child/student outcomes (often academic measures) while controlling for the influence of intake differences in child/student characteristics.

*Effect sizes (ES)* Effect sizes (ES) provide a measure of the strength of the relationships between different predictors and the outcomes under study. For further information see Elliot & Sammons (2004).

**Emphasis on learning** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to teacher expectations, emphasis on understanding something not just memorising it, teachers believing that it is okay for students to mistakes as long as they learn from them, students wanting to do well in exams, and lessons being challenging.

**Enjoyment of school** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that reflect the degree to which students reported they like lessons and being at school, like answering questions in class, but also how much the student experiences boredom in lessons or feels school is a waste of time.

**Factor Analysis (FA)** An umbrella term covering a number of statistical procedures that are used to identify a smaller number of factors or dimensions from a larger set of independent variables or items (Reber, 1995). At KS3 EPPSE used:

- Exploratory FA a type of analyses where no prior (theoretical) knowledge is imposed on the way the items cluster/load.
- Principal Components Analysis (PCA) a procedure that converts a set of observations of possibly correlated items into a set of values of uncorrelated items called principal components.
- Confirmatory FA type of factor analyses used where the measure of a factor/construct are tested against a prior (theoretical) knowledge.

**Family characteristics** Examples of family characteristics are mother's highest qualification level, father's highest qualification level and family socio-economic status (SES).

Free school meals (FSM) An indicator of family poverty.

**General Cognitive Ability (GCA)** A measure of pupils' overall cognitive ability, incorporating non-verbal and verbal BAS sub-scales.

Growth Curve Modelling "In brief, the objective of growth curve modeling1 is to describe a set of time-ordered, within-person observations using only a few parameters. For example, the intra-individual change over time, or within-person learning, that occurs with practice might be described parsimoniously by two parameters, one indicating an individual's initial level of ability (e.g., intercept), and another indicating linear rate of increase or decline in performance across multiple occasions of measurement (e.g., linear slope)....Growth curve modeling methods also allow us to describe and test hypotheses about individual differences in intra-individual change. By allowing the parameters used to describe intra-individual change to vary between individuals we can also model and examine how (and potentially why) individuals differ in their initial levels of performance (intercept), rates of improvement or decline over time (linear slope), asymptotic levels of performance, etc. Examining how the inter-individual differences in particular aspects of intra-individual change captured by each parameter relate to other inter-individual differences (e.g., covariates such as trait personality) brings us one step closer to understanding how and why individuals follow different paths of development" (Ram & Grimm, 2007; p. 303).

**Headteacher qualities** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that reflect the headteacher making sure that students behave well, their presence around the school and interest in how much students learn.

*Hierarchical nature of the data* Data that clusters into pre-defined sub-groups or levels within a system (i.e. students, schools, local authorities).

**Home learning environment (HLE) characteristics** Measures derived from reports from parents (at interview or using parent questionnaires) about what children do at home (with/independent of their parents). There are several HLE measures: early years HLE, KS1 HLE, KS2 HLE (please see Appendix 4 for further details).

*Hyperactivity* A social-behavioural construct identified from teachers' ratings about EPPSE students, collected through a pupil profile based on Goodman's (1997) Strength and Difficulties questionnaire. Several items formed the factor 'hyperactivity' e.g. Restless, overactive, cannot stay still for long.

*Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI)* The IDACI represents the percentage of children in each SOA that live in families that are income deprived. For further details see Noble et al., (2008).

*Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD)* The IMD is a measure of a range of characteristics evident in a neighbourhood. For further details see Noble et al. (2004; 2008).

*Internal Reliability/Consistency* The degree to which the various parts of a test (items) or other instrument (e.g. questionnaire) measure the same variables/construct (Reber, 1995). An example measure would be *Cronbach's alpha* (see earlier).

Intra-centre/school correlation The intra-centre/school correlation measures the extent to which the outcomes from children/students in the same centre/school resemble each other as compared with those from children/students at different centres/schools. The intra-centre/school correlation provides an indication of the extent to which unexplained variance in children's/students' progress (i.e. that not accounted for by prior attainment) may be attributed to differences between centres/schools. This gives an indication of possible variation in pre-school centre/school effectiveness.

**Key Stage (KS)** The English education system splits students into age phases known as Key Stages as follows: KS1 (age 5-7), KS2 (8-11), KS3 (12-14), KS4 (14-16).

**Mean average** A measure of central tendency that is calculated by summing a set of values (or scores) and then dividing by the number of values or scores (Reber, 1995).

**Multilevel modelling** A methodology that allows data to be examined simultaneously at different levels within a system (i.e. children/students, pre-school centres/schools, local authorities), essentially a generalisation of multiple regression.

**Multiple Disadvantage Index** This measure was developed as part of the Early Years Transition & Special Educational Needs (EYTSEN) Project, which focuses on the identification of children 'at risk' of SEN (see Sammons et al., 2004b). An index was created based on 10 indicators in total: three child variables, six parent variables, and one related to the Early years Home Learning Environment (HLE).

#### Child variables

- First language: English as an additional language (EAL)
- · Large family: 3 or more siblings
- Pre-maturity / low birth weight

### Parent/HLE variables

- Mother's highest qualification level: no qualifications
- Social class of father's occupation: Semi-skilled, unskilled, never worked, absent father
- Father not employed
- Young Mother (Age 13-17 at birth of EPPE child)
- Lone parent
- Mother not working / unemployed
- Low Early years Home Learning Environment (HLE)

For further details see Sammons et al., (2002).

**Multiple imputation** A statistical procedure that replaces missing value with a set of predicted values (Little & Rubin, 1987). This procedure generates several imputed data sets, which are then analysed and the results combined according to Rubin's Rule (Little & Rubin, 1987).

**Multiple regression** A method of predicting outcome scores on the basis of the statistical relationship between observed outcome scores and one or more predictor variables.

**National Assessment Levels** The table below shows the levels that could be achieved by a student at different ages in their National Assessments tests / can be awarded to a student for their Teacher Assessment (TA).

Outcome	Key Stage 1 (KS1), Age 7	Key Stage 2 (KS2), Age 11	Key Stage 2 (KS3), Age 14
	Working towards level 1		
	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1
Reading/	Level 2 – Expected Level	Level 2	Level 2
English	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
Levels	Level 4	Level 4 – Expected Level	Level 4
		Level 5	Level 5 – Expected Level
		Level 6	Level 6
			Level 7
			Level 8
	Working towards level 1		
	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1
Maths	Level 2 – Expected Level	Level 2	Level 2
Levels	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
	Level 4	Level 4 – Expected Level	Level 4
		Level 5	Level 5 – Expected Level
		Level 6	Level 6
			Level 7
			Level 8
	Working towards level 1		
	Level 1	Level 1	Level 1
Science	Level 2 – Expected Level	Level 2	Level 2
Levels	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
	Level 4	Level 4 – Expected Level	Level 4
		Level 5	Level 5 – Expected Level
		Level 6	Level 6
			Level 7
			Level 8

**Net effect** The unique contribution of a particular variable upon an outcome while other variables are controlled.

**Ofsted** The Office for Standards in Education, Children's Services and Skills (Ofsted) inspect and regulate services that care for children and young people, and those providing education and skills for learners of all ages. See Matthews & Sammons (2004), and the Ofsted website (http://www.ofsted.gov.uk/content) for further details.

**Pedagogical strategies** Strategies used by an educator to support learning. These include the face to face interactions with students, the organisation of resources and the assessment practices.

(Poor) behaviour climate A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to the general behaviour climate in the EPPSE student's school; students being given a hard time by others if they work hard, level of compliance with school rules, fighting and weapons being brought into school, and whether most students want to leave the school as soon as they can.

**Popularity** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to how popular students feel they are with other teenagers and how many friends they have.

**Pre-reading attainment** Composite formed by adding together the scores for phonological awareness (rhyme and alliteration) and letter recognition.

**Pre-school effectiveness** Measures of the effectiveness of pre-schools were derived from Value Added (VA) models of the sample's actual progress during pre-school, controlling for prior attainment and children's background characteristics (Sammons et al., 2004a).

**Primary school effectiveness** Primary school academic effectiveness scores were obtained from National Assessment data for several cohorts across all primary schools in England. Value-added scores were calculated across the years 2002-4, for each primary school in England and then extracted for schools attended by the EPPE sample (Melhuish et al., 2006a; 2006b).

**Prior attainment** Measures which describe a participant's achievement at the beginning of the phase or period under investigation (i.e. taken on entry to the study or school, or for Year 9 analyses, outcomes from Year 6).

**Pro-social Behaviour** A social-behavioural construct identified from teachers' ratings about EPPSE students, collected through a pupil profile based on Goodman's (1997) Strength and Difficulties questionnaire. Several items formed the factor 'pro-social' behaviour e.g. Considerate of other people's feelings.

**Pupil Profile** An instrument containing Goodman's (1997) Strength and Difficulties questionnaire plus some additional items used to collect information about EPPSE student's social behaviour. It is completed by a teacher who knows the EPPSE student well.

**Quality of pre-school** Measures of pre-school centre quality were collected through observational assessments (ECERS-R, ECERS-E) completed by trained researchers. For further information see **ECERS** and Sylva et al. (2010).

**Quality of secondary schools** Secondary school quality was derived from measures taken from Ofsted inspection judgments. See **Ofsted** for further details.

**Quality of teaching** Measures from Year 5 classroom observations using the IEO (Stipek) and COS-5 (Pianta) instruments. For further information see Sammons et al. (2006a; 2006b).

**Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA)** The RMSEA is an index measure of model; values less than 0.06 are an indication of a good fit.

Sampling profile/procedures The EPPSE sample was constructed of:

Five regions (six Local authorities) randomly selected around the country, but being representative of urban, rural, inner city areas. Pre-schools from each of the 6 main types of target provision (nursery classes, nursery schools, local authority day nurseries, private day nurseries, play groups and integrated centres) randomly selected across the region.

**School environment** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to how EPPSE students view their school in terms of the physical space (the attractiveness of buildings, the decorative state of the classrooms, the condition of the toilets), as well as its reputation as a good school and how well organised it is.

**School/learning resources** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to practical resources for learning at the EPPSE student's school; amount of computers and getting enough time on them in lessons, and the quality of science labs and the school library.

**School level variation** School level variance here refers to the percentage of variation in students' outcomes that can be attributed to differences between schools.

**Secondary school effectiveness** Secondary school academic effectiveness scores were obtained from the Department for Education (DfE). The measure of academic effectiveness is represented by the average KS2 to KS4 contextual value added (CVA) school level scores over 4 years (2006-2009) when EPPSE students were in secondary school. See '**CVA**' as this is the same measure.

**Self-regulation** A social-behavioural construct identified from teachers' ratings about EPPSE students, collected through a pupil profile based on Goodman's (1997) Strength and Difficulties questionnaire. Several items formed the factor 'self-regulation' e.g. Likes to work things out for self; seeks help rarely.

**Significance level** Criteria for judging whether differences in scores between groups of children/students or centres/schools might have arisen by chance. The most common criteria is the 95% level (p<0.05), which can be expected to include the 'true' value in 95 out of 100 samples (i.e. the probability being one in twenty that a difference might have arisen by chance).

**Social-behavioural development** A student's ability to 'socialise' with other adults and pupils and their general behaviour to others. EPPSE uses this overarching name to refer to a range of social-behavioural outcome measures. At age 14, two of these outcomes refer to positive outcomes ('self-regulation' and 'pro-social' behaviour) and two refer to negative outcomes ('hyperactivity' and 'anti-social' behaviour).

**Socio-economic status (SES)** Occupational information was collected by means of a parental interview/questionnaire at different time points. The Office of Population Census and Surveys OPCS (1995) Classification of Occupations was used to classify mothers and fathers current employment into one of 8 groups: professional I, other professional non manual II, skilled non manual III, skilled manual IV, unskilled manual V, never worked and no response. Family SES was obtained by assigning the SES classification based on the parent with the highest occupational status.

**Standard deviation (sd)** A measure of the spread around the mean in a distribution of numerical scores. In a normal distribution, 68% of cases fall within one standard deviation of the mean and 95% of cases fall within two standard deviations.

**Structural equation modelling (SEM)** is an umbrella term for statistical modelling techniques which allow for testing causal processes and structural relationships (Byrne, 2010).

**Student background characteristics** Student background characteristics include age, birth weight, gender, and ethnicity.

**Target centre** A total of 141 pre-school centres were recruited to the EPPSE research covering 6 types of provision - **Sampling profile/procedures**. The sample of students was drawn from these target centres.

**Teacher Assessment (TA)** These assessments made by teachers provide measures of students' educational outcomes for English, maths and science in Year 9 (age 14) in the form of National curriculum levels.

**Teacher discipline** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to the level of teacher control during lessons, in terms of behaviour, noise, rule breaking and teachers being bothered if students turn up late.

**Teacher support** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to support given by teachers in terms of helping students, giving them feedback, making them feel confident about their work, rewarding them for good behaviour, being available to talk privately, and marking and returning homework.

**Term of birth** Using EPPSE student's dates of birth, the EPPSE sample were categorised into three 'term of birth' categories: Autumn born (September to December); Spring born (January to April); Summer born (May to August).

**Total BAS score** By combining 4 of the BAS sub-scales (2 verbal and 2 non-verbal) a General Cognitive Ability score or Total BAS score at entry to the study can be computed. This is a measure of overall cognitive ability.

**Value added models** Longitudinal multilevel models exploring individuals' progress over time, controlling for prior attainment as well as significant individual, family and home learning environment characteristics.

Value added residuals (pre-school effectiveness) Differences between predicted and actual results for pre-school centres (where predicted results are calculated using value added models). See *Pre-school effectiveness* for further information.

**Value added residuals (primary school academic effectiveness)** Differences between predicted and actual results for primary schools measuring pupil progress across KS1 – KS2. For further information see *Primary school effectiveness* and Melhuish et al., (2006a; 2006b).

**Valuing students** A factor derived from Year 9 student questionnaire items that relate to whether the school values students' views, teachers listen to students views, are respectful and friendly to students, teachers are unpleasant to students if they make mistakes.

**Views of school** An overarching term used to refer to factors such as 'teacher support', 'school environment', 'valuing students', 'headteacher qualities', 'poor behaviour climate', 'emphasis on learning', 'teacher discipline', and 'school/learning resources'. The EPPSE study derived these factors from the questionnaire completed by students in Year 9 called 'All about Me in school'.

#### References

Byrne, B. M. (2010). Structural equation modeling with AMOS: Basic concepts, applications, and programming (2nd Edition). New York: Routledge.

Elliot, K., and Sammons, P. (2004). Exploring the use of effect sizes to evaluate the impact of different influences on child outcomes: Possibilities and limitations. In I. Schagen & K. Elliott (Eds.), *But what does it mean? The use of effect sizes in educational research.* (pp 6-24). Slough: National Foundation for Educational Research.

Goldstein, H. (1995). *Multilevel Statistical Models*. (Second Edition) London: Edward Arnold; New York: Halsted Press.

Goodman, R. (1997). 'The Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note'. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*, 38, 581-586.

Grosin, L. and McNamara, P. (2001). *Bedömningsinstrument för skolklimat*, http://www.mdh.se/isb/pedagogik/personal/peter\_mcn/sv1.html [Accessed: 17 April 2002].

Harker, H. (2001). *The Effects of Student Compositions on School Outcomes*. Paper presented at the British Educational Research Association Conference, Leeds.

Harms, T., Clifford, M. and Cryer, D. (1998). *Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale, Revised Edition (ECERS-R)*. Vermont: Teachers College Press.

Hu, L., and Bentler, P. M. (1999). 'Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives'. *Structural Equation Modeling, 6*, 1-55.

Little, R. J. A. and Rubin, D. B. (1987). Statistical Analysis with Missing Data. New York: J. Wiley & Sons.

Marsh, H. W. (1990). 'The structure of academic self-concept: The Marsh/Shavelson model'. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, Vol 82 (4), 623-636.

Marsh, H. W. and Hau, K. T. (2003). 'Big fish little pond effect on academic self-concept: A crosscultural (26 country) test of the negative effects of academically selective schools'. *American Psychologist*, 58, 364-376.

Marsh, H. W., and Craven, R. G. (2006). 'Reciprocal effects of self-concept and performance from a multidimensional perspective: Beyond seductive pleasure and unidimensional perspectives'. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *1*, 133–163.

Matthews, P., and Sammons, P. (2004). *Improvement through inspection: An evaluation of the impact of Ofsted's work.* Ofsted: Institute of Education, London. http://www.oftsed.gov.uk/publications/index.cfm?fuseaction=pubs.displayfile&id=3696&type=pdf

Melhuish, E., Romaniuk, H., Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Taggart, B. (2006a). *Effective Preschool and Primary Education 3-11 Project (EPPE 3-11): The Effectiveness of Primary schools in England in Key Stage 2 for 2002, 2003 and 2004. Full Report.* London: Institute of Education, University of London. <a href="http://eppe.ioe.ac.uk/">http://eppe.ioe.ac.uk/</a>

Melhuish, E., Romaniuk, H., Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Taggart, B. (2006b). *Effective Preschool and Primary Education 3-11 (EPPE 3-11): The Effectiveness of Primary Schools in England in Key Stage 2 for 2002, 2003 and 2004, Research Brief No. RBX06-06.* Nottingham: DfES Publications.

Noble, M., Wright, G., Dibben, C., Smith, G.A.N., McLennan, D., Anttila, C., Barnes, H., Mokhtar, C., Noble, S., Gardner, J., Braswell, S., Covizzi, I. and Lloyd, M. (2004). *The English Indices of Deprivation 2004*, Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, London.

Noble, M., McLennan, D., Wilkinson, K., Whitworth, A., Barnes, H. and Dibben, C. (2008). *The English Indices of Deprivation 2007*. London: Department for Communities and Local Government.

Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. (1995). *Classification of occupations and coding index*. London: HMSO.

Ram, N. & Grim, K. (2007). 'Using simple and complex growth models to articulate developmental change: Matching theory to method'. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 31 (4), 303–316.

Reber, A. S. (1995). Dictionary of Psychology (2nd edition). London: Penguin Books.

Scott, K. & Carran, D. (1989). 'Identification and referral of handicapped infants'. In M.C.Wang, M.C. Reynolds and H.J.Walberg (eds) *Handbook of Special Education Research and Practice: Low incidence Conditions (Vol 3)* (pp 227-241). Oxford, England: Pergamon Press.

Sammons, P., Smees, R., Taggart, B., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E. C., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Elliot, K. (2002). *The Early Years Transition and Special Educational Needs (EYTSEN) Project: Technical Paper 1 - Special Needs Across the Pre-School Period.* London: DfES / Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E. C., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Elliot, K. and Marsh, A. (2004a). *The Effective Provision of Pre-School Education (EPPE) Project: Technical Paper 11 - Report on the continuing effects of pre-school education at age 7.* London: DfES / Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Smees, R., Taggart, B., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E. C., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Elliot, K. (2004b). *The Early Years Transitions and Special Educational Needs (EYTSEN) Project: Technical Paper 2 - Special Educational Needs in the Early Primary Years: Primary school entry up to the end of Year 1*. London: DfES / Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Taggart, B., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Barreau, S. & Manni, L. (2006a). Effective Pre-school and Primary Education 3-11 Project (EPPE 3-11): Variations in Teacher and Pupil Behaviours in Year 5 Classes. Full Report. London, Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Taggart, B., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Barreau, S. and Manni, L. (2006b). *Effective Pre-school and Primary Education 3-11 (EPPE 3-11) Summary Report: Variations in Teacher and Pupil Behaviours in Year 5 Classes. Research Report No. 817.* Nottingham: DfES Publications.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Smees., & Melhuish., E. (2008a). *Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14): Exploring pupils' views of primary school in Year 5.* Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Smees, R and Melhuish, E. (2008b). *Influences on students' dispositions in primary school: Enjoyment of school, Anxiety and Isolation, and Self-image in Year 5.* Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Toth, K., Smees, R and Draghici, D. (2011a). Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14): Influences on Students' Attainment and Progress in Key Stage 3: Cognitive Outcomes in Year 9. Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Draghici, D., Toth, K., and Smees, R. (2011b). Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14): Influences on Children's development and progress at Key Stage 3: Social Behavioural Outcomes in Year 9. Institute of Education, University of London.

Sammons, P., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Taggart, B., Smees, R., Draghici, D., and Toth, K. (2011c). Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project (EPPSE 3-14): Accounting for variations in students' dispositions in Year 9: exploring Enjoyment of school, Popularity, Anxiety, Citizenship values and Maths and English Academic self concept.

Sylva, K., Sammons, P., Melhuish, E. C., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Taggart, B. (1999). The Effective Provision of Pre-School Education (EPPE) Project: Technical Paper 1 - An Introduction of EPPE. *London: DfEE / Institute of Education, University of London.* 

Sylva, K., Siraj-Blatchford, I. and Taggart, B. (2003). Assessing Quality in the Early Years: Early Childhood Environment Rating Scale Extension (ECERS-E): Four Curricular Subscales. Stoke on Trent, UK and Stirling, USA: Trentham Books.

Siraj- Blatchford, I., Mayo, A., Melhuish, E., Taggart, B., Sammons, P., Sylva K. (2011). *Performing against the odds: developmental trajectories of children in the EPPSE 3-16 study.* DfE Research Report DFE –RR 128.

Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Sammons, P., Siraj-Blatchford, I. & Taggart, B. (Eds.) (2010). *Early Childhood Matters: Evidence from the Effective Pre-school and Primary Education project*, London, Routeledge.

Taggart, B., Sammons, P., Smees, R., Sylva, K., Melhuish, E., Siraj-Blatchford, I., Elliot, K. and Lunt, I. (2006). 'Early Identification of Special Needs and the Definition of 'At Risk': The Early Years Transition and Special Education Needs (EYTSEN) Project'. *British Journal of Special Education*, 33 (1), 40-45.

Teddlie, C. and Stringfield, S. (1993). Schools Make a Difference: Lessons Learned from a 10 Year Study of School Effects. New York: Teachers College Press.





Copies of this publication can be obtained from: http://eppe.ioe.ac.uk

For enquiries please contact:

**Brenda Taggart** 

Telephone: 00 44 (0)207 612 6219

Fax: 00 44 (0)207 580 7568/0207 612 6230

Email: b.taggart@ioe.ac.uk

ISBN: 978-0-9571309-3-7

© Institute of Education 2011

Published by the Effective Pre-School, Primary and Secondary Education Project Institute of Education, University of London